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ABSTRACT: Ismailia Canal is one of the most important branches of the Nile River in Egypt. It is the main source of drinking and irrigation water for many cities. Water and sediments samples were taken from 6 sites during 6 months of (Jan., Mar., May, July, Sept. and Nov.) 2017. The sites are: (I) El-MMazalat (at start of the canal), (II) Al- Ameriyah, (III) Mostourd, (IV) Abu-Zaabl, (V) Al-Monair and (VI) Belbies. The samples of water and sediments were chemically analyzed for detection of heavy metals i.e., (Cu, Pb, Cd, Ni and Zn). Abu-Zaabl site was more pollution than the other sites. The contents in water were within the limits allowed by the World Health Organization (WHO, 1995). Highest pollutions were sites III, IV and V.
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INTRODUCTION

The River Nile is the main water source for Egypt, securing sufficient water for Egypt’s survival and economic development. Uncontrolled wastewater discharge into it can cause immediate and long-term water quality health hazards impacts on the users (Ibrahim et al., 2009). Ismailia canal is one of the most important irrigation and drinking water sources in Egypt. It was constructed in 1862 to supply drinking water to villages on the Suez Canal zones and workers during digging the Suez Canal. Today its water is used for irrigation, domestic and industrial use and provides water for about 12 million Egyptians, including those living in the northern part of Great Cairo, (Shubra El-Kheima, El-Ameriyah, Mattaria, Musturod, Abu-Zaabl, Inchas, Belbeis, Abbasa, Abu-Hammad, Zagazig and El-Tal El-Kabeer), (Geriesh et al., 2008).

It is about 128 km long, with 30 to 70 m width and 1 to 3 m depth, discharges about 5 million m³ day⁻¹ of water for drinking and industrial purposes (El-Haddad, 2005). The canal has its inlet from the Nile at Cairo and runs directly to East Ismailia passing Kalioubeya and Sharkia Governorates (Stahl and Ramadan, 2008). Owing to industrial and agricultural activities, large amounts of untreated municipal and industrial wastewater as well as rural domestic wastes discharge into it (Stahl et al., 2009; Geriesh et al., 2008).

The first source is the upstream portion of the Ismailia Canal from Cairo to Abu-Zaabl, includes the largest industrial zones in the region (Shubra El-Kheima, Musturod, Abu-Zaabl industrial zones) with activities of petroleum, petro gas, iron and steel, fertilizers, detergents and electric power station, the second source is the water treatment plants which caused dramatic changes. In addition to waste disposals, seepage from septic tanks of houses are major sources of contamination (Mohamed et al., 2014).

Regular water quality monitoring is necessary to assess the quality of water (Poonam et al., 2013). Studies on heavy metals in rivers, lakes, fish and sediments represent major concerns (Ali and Fishar, 2005). Effluents discharged into Nile waters induce considerable changes in
properties of its water and alters the environmental characteristics of river (El-Sayed, 2011). Heavy metals are serious pollutant because of their toxicity and persistence on living organisms (Khalili et al., 2007). In the aquatic environment, elements are found in the various components of water, suspended solids, sediments and biota (Shakweer and Abbas, 2005).

Water quality of Ismailia Canal from ShubraMElMMazalat to ElMKhosose city was studied by Abdo (1998) who concluded that, pollution was widespread in those areas.

El-Haddad, (2005) studied the distribution and concentrations of Fe, Mn, Zn, Cu and Pb in water and sediment of Ismailia Canal and found ranges as follows in water: Fe: 110 – 640, Mn: 40 – 360, Zn: 1.8–54.8, Cu: 3.6 – 18.9 and Pb: 7.5 – 35.7 µg L\(^{-1}\). In sediment: 7500–26900, 150 – 710, 31.1 – 78.5, 3.3 – 56.5 and 12.8 – 32.5 µg g\(^{-1}\) for the same metals, respectively.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Six water and sediment samples were collected during six months (Jan., Mar., May, July, Sept. and Nov.) during 2017 from six different sites of Ismailia Canal (Map 1). Details of samples sites are presented in Table 1.

Three replicates of water samples were taken at each site kept refrigerated and transferred cold to the laboratory for analysis. Also three replicates of sediment samples were taken by core sampler (Boyd and Tucker, 1992), kept in clean plastic bags and chilled on ice box for transport to the laboratory for analysis. Water and sediment analyses were carried out according to standard methods for examination of water and waste water (APHA, 2012). Analyses included soluble Cu, Pb, Cd, Ni, and Zn in water and total in sediments.

Inductively Coupled Plasma-Emission spectrometry (ICP-OES) (model Perkin Elmer optima 3000, USA) was used for measurement of the metals.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Heavy Metals in Water

The following five heavy metals of Cu, Pb, Cd, Ni and Zn were detected in the six months at all collection sites (Table 2).

Contents of elements were in the following order: For copper element (Cu): VI >V > III > IV > II > I. For lead element (Pb): III > IV > V > VI > II > I. For cadmium element (Cd): IV and V > III and VI > I and II. For nickel element (Ni): V > IV > III > VI > II > I. For zinc element (Zn): IV > VI > III , II and V > I.

The concentration levels of all studied heavy metals were within the limits allowed by the World Health Organization (WHO, 1995) as shown in (Appendix 1).

The main natural source of heavy metals in water is weathering of minerals (Klavins et al., 2000). Industrial effluents and non-point pollution sources, as well as changes in atmospheric precipitation can lead to local increase in heavy metals water. Total heavy metals in aquatic ecosystems can reflect the present pollution status of these areas (Haiyan and Stuanes, 2003).

Heavy metals in Sediments

The results obtained for the sediment analysis are shown in (Table 3). The contents varied widely and among different sites especially for Cu, and Zn. The pattern was as follows for sites of the water: For copper element (Cu): IV > III > V > VI > II > I. For lead element (Pb): III > IV > V > VI > II > I. For cadmium element (Cd): IV and III > V > I I and VI > I. For nickel element (Ni): IV > III > V > II > VI > I. For zinc element (Zn): IV > V > III > VI > II > I.

Highest values indicating pollution were in the industrial zones of Mostourd (site III), Abu-Zaabl (site IV), and Al-Monair (site V).

Heavy metals accumulate more in sediments than water, since the sediments act as reservoir. Hamed (1998) stated that heavy metal pollution was mooted in waters of Damiette Nile branch and Nguyen et al. (2005), noted heavy metal pollution in some waters of lakes.

Contents don't exceed the guidelines for USEPA (1997) except for Pb, which exceeded them. However contents in sediments exceeded than acceptable guidelines cited by Salmons and Förstner (1984) and USPHS (1997) as shown in Appendix 2.
Table 1. Details of surface water and sediment sampling location of Ismailia Canal

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample ID</th>
<th>Site</th>
<th>Source of pollution</th>
<th>Latitude</th>
<th>Longitude</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>I</td>
<td>El-Mazalat</td>
<td>unpolluted area (control)</td>
<td>30° 06’ 30”</td>
<td>31° 15’ 10”</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>II</td>
<td>Al-Ameriyah</td>
<td>industrial outlets (water purification station)</td>
<td>30° 06’ 41”</td>
<td>31° 16’ 22”</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>III</td>
<td>Mostourd</td>
<td>industrial outlets (activities of petroleum, petro gas)</td>
<td>30° 09’ 55”</td>
<td>31° 17’ 36”</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IV</td>
<td>Abu-Zaabl</td>
<td>industrial outlets (Abu Zaabl fertilizer factory)</td>
<td>30° 16’ 28”</td>
<td>31° 22’ 44”</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V</td>
<td>Al-Monair</td>
<td>industrial outlets (Aluminum Sulfate factory)</td>
<td>30° 16’ 49”</td>
<td>31° 23’ 07”</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VI</td>
<td>Belbies</td>
<td>municipal sewage outlets</td>
<td>30° 24’ 57”</td>
<td>31° 34’ 33”</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2. Average contents of heavy metals in Ismailia canal water (µg L⁻¹) collected from 6 different sites (site I to site VI)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample ID</th>
<th>Site</th>
<th>Cu</th>
<th>Pb</th>
<th>Cd</th>
<th>Ni</th>
<th>Zn</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>I</td>
<td>El-Mazalat</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>II</td>
<td>Al-Ameriyah</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>III</td>
<td>Mostourd</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IV</td>
<td>Abu-Zaabl</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V</td>
<td>Al-Monair</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VI</td>
<td>Belbies</td>
<td>58</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LSD (0.05)</td>
<td></td>
<td>15</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 3. Mean values of heavy metals concentration of Ismailia canal sediment (mg Kg\(^{-1}\))

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample ID</th>
<th>Site</th>
<th>Cu</th>
<th>Pb</th>
<th>Cd</th>
<th>Ni</th>
<th>Zn</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>I</td>
<td>El-Mazalat</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>88</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>II</td>
<td>Al-Ameriyah</td>
<td>79</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>129</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>III</td>
<td>Mostourd</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>135</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>201</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IV</td>
<td>Abu-Zaabl</td>
<td>104</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>58</td>
<td>247</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V</td>
<td>Al-Monair</td>
<td>94</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>235</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VI</td>
<td>Belbies</td>
<td>84</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>155</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LSD (0.05)</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>52</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>101</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Fig. 1. The concentration of elements in the sediment samples of the study area (mg Kg\(^{-1}\))

I, II, III, IV, V and VI are samples site; El-Mazalat, Al-Ameriyah, Mostourd, Abu-Zaabl, Al-Monair and Belbies, respectively.

Conclusion and Recommendation

The elements Cu, Pb, Cd, Ni and Zn were highest in Abu-Zaabl (site IV). In this location, a fertilizer factory discharges its waste water in the canal. Pb was highest in Mostourd (site III), while the lowest was in El-Mazalat followed by Al-Ameriyah then Belbies (sites I, II and VI).

Thus, Ismailia Canal which is the main source of freshwater for several governorates, cities and villages is exposed to pollution in its water due to different wastes that discharge into it. Water quality may be used for irrigation.
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Metal</th>
<th>Mg l$^{-1}$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Aluminum, Al</td>
<td>0.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Barium, Ba</td>
<td>0.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cadmium, Cd</td>
<td>0.003</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cobalt, Co</td>
<td>nil</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chromium, Cr</td>
<td>0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Copper, Cu</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Iron, Fe</td>
<td>0.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Manganese, Mn</td>
<td>0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nickel, Ni</td>
<td>0.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lead, Pb</td>
<td>0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zinc, Zn</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Appendix 2. Sediment quality guidelines for heavy metals (mg Kg$^{-1}$) measured in fresh water sediments

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Zn</td>
<td>271</td>
<td>&lt;100</td>
<td>121 – 247</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ni</td>
<td>42.8</td>
<td>45-65</td>
<td>19 – 58</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cu</td>
<td>108</td>
<td>45-50</td>
<td>75 – 104</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pb</td>
<td>112</td>
<td>20-30</td>
<td>7 – 135</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cd</td>
<td>4.21</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1 – 4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
تقييم التلوث ببعض المعدن الثقيل في المياه والرسوبيات بترعة الإسماعيلية، نهر النيل، مصر

أحمد علي إبراهيم إبراهيم 1 - أحمد عفت الشربيني 2 - صلاح محمود دحمون 3 - محمد محمد محمود الشريف

1- المركز القومي لبحوث المياه - القناطر الخيرية - مصر
2- قسم الأراضي - كلية الزراعة - جامعة الزقاقيق - مصر

تم جمع ستة عينات من المياه ورواسب قاع القناة خلال ستة أشهر (يناير ومارس ومايو ويوليو وسبتمبر ونوفمبر) في عام 2017 من ستة مواقع مختلفة من ترعة الإسماعيلية بغرض تقييم تأثير الصرف الصناعي على المياه والرواسب، المواقع المختارة تشمل (I) مدخل ترعة الإسماعيلية بشبرا المطلات، (II) (الأمورية، مسطرة، أبو زعيل)، (III) (الكاديم، النيكل، الزنك)، أوضحت النتائج أن تركز جميع العناصر المدروسة في المياه في الحدود المسموح بها مع وجود بعض الاختلافات من موقع إلى آخر، كانت أعلى قيم للمناطق المنخفضة هي المواقع الثالث والرابع والخامس بالمقارنة مع الموقع الأول.
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