



INFLUENCE OF DISTILLER'S DRIED GRAINS WITH SOLUBLES ON GROWTH, FEED UTILIZATION AND ECONOMIC EFFICIENCY OF NILE TILAPIA (*Oreochromis niloticus*) DIETS

Mohamed M.E.A. Khadr², S.A. Shehata^{1*}, M.S. Ebrahim² and Kh.M. Al-Marakby¹

1. Anim. Prod. Dept., Fac. Agric., Zagazig Univ., Egypt

2. Cent. Lab. Aquac. Res., Abbasa, Abo-Hammad, Sharkia, Egypt

Received: 18/03/2018 ; Accepted: 17/04/2018

ABSTRACT: The present study was designed to evaluate the effect of inclusion gradual dietary levels of yellow corn distiller dried grains with solubles (DDGS) on productive performance and economic efficiency of Nile tilapia (*Oreochromis niloticus*) diets. Two hundred of Nile tilapia fingerlings (average body weight, 12.86 g ± 0.14) were randomly divided into five experimental groups [4 replicates (glass aquaria), 10 fingerlings per each]. Five diets were formulated to contain 0 (basal diet), 5, 10, 20 and 30% DDGS (D₁, D₂, D₃, D₄ and D₅, respectively) as a partial replacement of the basal diet (D₁). Each diet fed to Nile tilapia fingerlings twice daily to apparent satiation for 16 weeks. All dietary levels of DDGS had no significant ($P \leq 0.05$) effect on average body weight gain, specific growth rate, feed conversion ratio, hepatosomatic index and condition factor. The survival rate was improved with D₅ compared with D₁. Concerning whole body composition, the crude protein content was high in all dietary levels of DDGS than that with the control diet, while the content of ether extract had the opposite trend. Fish fed diets contained DDGS had better protein productive value (PPV) than those fed the control diet. Incorporation of DDGS in tested diets reduced the feed cost per each gain unit. It could be concluded that the inclusion of DDGS up to the level 30% of diets had economic benefits without any adverse effect on performance of Nile tilapia fish.

Key words: Distiller dried grains with solubles, yellow corn, *Oreochromis niloticus*, growth, feed utilization.

INTRODUCTION

In Egypt, aquafeed is the main obstacle hindering sustainable aquaculture development, maintaining quality and reasonable price point (Shaalan *et al.*, 2018). Fish feeds contribute about 75 to 85% of running costs of fish production (Dickson *et al.*, 2016). Importation of feed ingredients with increasing foreign currency exchange rates in Egypt led to the high price of aquafeeds (El-Sayed *et al.*, 2015). One of strategies to solve this problem is to find non-conventional and available sources of feed ingredients at affordable prices.

Protein is the most expensive dietary component. Using of less expensive sources of

protein which accompanied with good growth is an advantage for diet manufacture and aquaculture producers alike (Ebrahim, 2008). Distiller's dried grains with solubles (DDGS) is a co-product of the grain-based fuel ethanol industry. The DDGS is moderately high in protein (30% or higher) and is readily available and competitively priced relative to other alternative protein sources (Welker *et al.*, 2014). Nutritive values of DDGS are varied, which due to the source and quality of grains, fermentation time and efficiency, drying process and the quantity of distiller's solubles added. Maize DDGS could be incorporated with different levels into Nile tilapia fish (*Oreochromis niloticus*) diets without any adverse effects (Lim *et al.*, 2011).

* Corresponding author: Tel.: +201224823981

E-mail address: shehataSabary@yahoo.com

The current study was conducted to investigate the best inclusion level of yellow corn DDGS in a commercial diet of *Oreochromis niloticus* and its effect on growth, feed utilization, body composition and economic efficiency.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

This study was conducted at Animal Production Department, Faculty of Agriculture, Zagazig University, Egypt. The experimental work was carried out at Central Laboratory for Aquaculture Research, Abbasa, Abo Hammad, Sharkia Governorate, Egypt, in summer of the year 2015.

Fish and Aquaria

Two hundred Nile tilapia (*Oreochromis niloticus*) fingerlings with average body weight $12.86 \text{ g} \pm 0.14$ were randomly divided into five experimental groups (40 fish/group). Each group had four equal replicates (10 fish per each). Fingerlings were procured from fish ponds of Central Laboratory of Aquaculture Research in Abbasa. Fish groups were located in glass aquaria. Each replicate of fish groups was stoked in glass aquarium (60×60×40 cm). Fish were kept for two weeks to be acclimatized before start of the main experimental period. Aquaria were supplied with dechlorinated water from storage tank. Air was supplied by aquarium air pumps. Fish wastes were drained by siphoning method with the third of water volume every day. Glass aquaria were cleaned biweekly to avoid any natural food formation as algal growth. Water samples were collected periodically from each aquarium to determine the dissolved oxygen and pH at Central Laboratory of Aquaculture Research. The average dissolved oxygen concentration was 5.5-6.5 mg/l and the pH value was 7.5. Water temperature measured by using a thermometer and its mean value was $27 \pm 2^\circ\text{C}$ during the experimental period. The photoperiod was 12 hours approximately.

Experimental Diets

The basal diet and yellow corn DDGS were obtained from Zagazig feed mill. The proximate composition of DDGS and basal diet were determined according to AOAC (1984). Formulation and calculated chemical composition of experimental diets are shown in Table 1. Basal diet (commercial diet) and DDGS

were ground, mixed with the basal diet at 0, 5, 10, 20 and 30% replacement levels, pelleted through fodder machine (2 mm in diameter) and dried in a hot air drying oven overnight at 65°C . The basal diet contained 8.5% fish meal, 33% soybean meal, 53% yellow corn, 3% oil, 0.5% mixture of vitamins and minerals, and 2% molasses.

Feeding Regime

Fish were hand fed two times/day to apparent satiation at 10:00 and 14:00 hours at a rate of 3% of the total body weight. Diets were offered in small amounts until one feed particles remained on the bottom of the aquarium for 20-30 seconds without being consumed. At this point, it was assumed that satiation had been achieved. Fish were weighed biweekly. Diets did not offer on the weighing day. Feed quantities were readjusted according to the change in live body weight. The experiment was lasted for 16 weeks.

Growth and Feed Utilization Parameters

Body weight gain (BWG) was calculated as (final average body weight g - initial average body weight g). Specific growth rate (SGR) was calculated as $[(\ln W_t - \ln W_0) / t] \times 100$, where, \ln is the natural log, W_0 is the initial body weight (g), W_t is the final body weight (g) and t is the time interval (day). Feed conversion ratio (FCR) was calculated as (air dry feed g) / (gain g). Protein productive value (PPV) was calculated as [protein retention g / protein intake g] $\times 100$, where protein retention was calculated as [final fish body protein in g - initial fish body protein in g].

Condition factor (K)

The condition factor (K) was estimated as recommended by Froese (2006) by using the equation, $K = 100 \times (W / L^3)$. Where, W is the whole body wet weight (g) and L is the body length (cm); the factor 100 is used to bring K close to unity.

Hepatosomatic index (HSI)

Liver was removed, wet weighed and then HSI was calculated as follows:

$$\text{HSI} = [\text{liver weight g} / \text{body weight g}] \times 100$$

Survival rate

Survival rate is calculated as a (%) of the difference between the average initial and final fish number per each fish group.

Table 1. Formulation and calculated chemical composition of Nile tilapia fish diets (on air dry basis)

| Item | D ₁ | D ₂ | D ₃ | D ₄ | D ₅ |
|--|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|
| Diet formulation | | | | | |
| Basal diet (%) | 100 | 95 | 90 | 80 | 70 |
| DDGS (%)* | 0 | 5 | 10 | 20 | 30 |
| Total | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 |
| Calculated chemical composition | | | | | |
| Experimental diets | | | | | |
| Dry matter (DM) (%) | 90.07 | 90.91 | 90.47 | 89.53 | 90.11 |
| Organic matter (OM) (%) | 86.54 | 87.30 | 86.80 | 85.75 | 86.19 |
| Crude protein (CP) (%) | 21.80 | 22.12 | 22.29 | 22.42 | 22.83 |
| Crude fiber (CF) (%) | 6.45 | 6.97 | 7.11 | 6.80 | 6.87 |
| Ether extract (EE) (%) | 3.38 | 3.64 | 3.88 | 4.32 | 4.83 |
| Nitrogen free extract (NFE) (%) | 54.91 | 54.57 | 53.51 | 52.21 | 51.66 |
| Ash (%) | 3.53 | 3.61 | 3.67 | 3.78 | 3.92 |

* Distiller Dried grains with soluble: The chemical composition of DDGS was 86.46% DM, 94.77% OM, 27.9% CP, 9.10% CF, 15.51% EE, 42.16% NFE and 5.33% Ash.

Chemical analysis of fish body

Five fish at the beginning and also at the end of the experiment from each replicate were dried at 65°C for 72 hr., in hot air oven, and then subjected to the chemical analysis. The proximate composition of whole body of fish was determined according to AOAC (1984).

Economical Study

The economical feed efficiency was calculated from the cost per one kilogram feed (year 2015) in Egyptian pound (LE) and the weight gain of fish from the equation: Feed cost (LE)/Kg gain = A × B/C.

Where: A, total feed intake (g/fish); B, cost in LE/Kg diet and C, total body weight gain (g/fish).

Statistical Analysis

Data were subjected to analysis of variance using analysis of variance procedure of statistical analysis system (SAS, 1996). Differences among means were evaluated by using Duncan's multiple range tests, (Duncan, 1955).

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The Proximate Analysis of Diets

Results of chemical composition of basal diet and DDGS and calculated of tested diets (D₂, D₃, D₄ and D₅) are presented in Table 1. Inclusion DDGS in the basal diet resulted in an increase of the crude protein, crude fiber, ether extract and ash contents. In contrary, the nitrogen free extract decreased by elevating the DDGS level in the diets.

Growth Parameters

Body weight gain (BWG) and specific growth rate (SGR)

Results of BWG and SGR are summarized in Table 2. The BWG and SGR values for group fed D₅ (30% DDGS) were significantly ($p \leq 0.05$) lower than those fed other diets at the 4th, 8th and 10th weeks of the experimental period. In general, all inclusion levels of DDGS did not significantly affect fish growth for the total experimental period (16 weeks). These results are in concur with Lim *et al.* (2011). They revealed

Table 2. Effect of tested diets on body weight gain and specific growth rate (SGR) of Nile tilapia fish

| Item | Experimental diet | | | | | |
|---------|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|------------------------------|------------------------------|------------------------------|------------------|
| | D ₁ (0% DDGS) | D ₂ (5% DDGS) | D ₃ (10% DDGS) | D ₄ (20% DDGS) | D ₅ (30% DDGS) | |
| Week | Initial body weight (g) | 12.86±0.33 | 12.85±0.12 | 12.82±0.11 | 12.84±0.09 | 12.95±0.05 |
| | Final body weight (g) | 36.95±1.48 | 37.11±0.96 | 36.21±1.95 | 35.90±3.93 | 35.13±1.38 |
| 2 | Weight gain (g) | 1.88±0.16 | 1.87±0.07 | 1.86±0.05 | 1.87±0.04 | 1.91±0.08 |
| | SGR (%/day) | 0.97±0.07 | 0.97±0.04 | 0.97±0.04 | 0.95±0.04 | 0.98±0.06 |
| 4 | Weight gain (g) | a 2.08±0.09 | a 2.05±0.06 | a 2.05±0.04 | a b 2.00±0.05 | b 1.91±0.08 |
| | SGR (%/day) | a 0.95±0.07 | a 0.93±0.04 | a 0.93±0.04 | a 0.91±0.04 | b 0.86±0.03 |
| 6 | Weight gain (g) | 3.93±0.40 | 3.51±0.47 | 3.62±0.32 | 3.40±0.19 | 3.40±0.18 |
| | SGR (%/day) | 1.50±0.14 | 1.36±0.16 | 1.41±0.09 | 1.32±0.07 | 1.32±0.07 |
| 8 | Weight gain (g) | a 3.47±0.52 | a b 2.88±0.39 | a b 2.87±0.46 | c 1.73±0.46 | b c 2.35±0.44 |
| | SGR (%/day) | a b 1.11±0.14 | a 1.24±0.14 | b c 0.94±0.13 | d 0.58±0.22 | c d 0.79±0.13 |
| 10 | Weight gain (g) | a b 2.60±0.42 | a 2.89±0.33 | a b 2.51±0.45 | a b 2.50±0.34 | b 2.15±0.32 |
| | SGR (%/day) | a b 0.73±0.10 | b 0.55±0.24 | a b 0.73±0.11 | a 0.77±0.10 | a b 0.64±0.08 |
| 12 | Weight gain (g) | 3.67±1.16 | 3.33±0.74 | 3.32±0.43 | 3.70±1.67 | 2.61±-0.58 |
| | SGR (%/day) | 0.92±0.30 | 0.86±0.15 | 0.87±0.15 | 1.01±0.39 | 0.72±0.34 |
| 14 | Weight gain (g) | a 3.34±0.50 | a 3.33±0.27 | a 3.68±0.21 | a 3.48±0.67 | b 2.53±0.65 |
| | SGR (%/day) | b c 0.66±0.07 | a b 0.77±0.07 | a 0.86±0.06 | a b 0.83±0.09 | c 0.63±0.16 |
| 16 | Weight gain (g) | c 3.12±1.28 | b 4.40±0.82 | c 3.48±1.96 | b 4.38±0.94 | a 5.32±0.74 |
| | SGR (%/day) | b 0.70±0.25 | a b 0.90±0.19 | b 0.71±0.43 | a b 0.93±0.11 | a 1.17±0.18 |
| Average | Weight gain (g) | 3.01±0.94 | 3.03±0.88 | 2.92±0.95 | 2.88±0.42 | 2.77±0.39 |
| | SGR (%/day) | 0.94±0.14 | 0.95±0.11 | 0.93±0.13 | 0.91±0.13 | 0.89±0.13 |

a, b, c and d: means in the same row with different superscript differ significantly ($P \leq 0.05$).

that DDGS can be included up to 20-30% in tilapia diets without any unfavorable effects on fish growth. On the same trend, **Gabr et al. (2013)** incorporated DDGS up to 20% in Nile tilapia (*Oreochromis niloticus*) fish. They demonstrated that the inclusion level of 16% improved all growth parameters.

Feed Utilization

Feed conversion ratio (FCR)

The average total feed consumption and FCR through the whole experimental period (Table 3) did not significantly differ among all of tested diets. Similar results found that inclusion of 30% DDGS did not affect the FCR of hybrid tilapia (**Coyle et al., 2004**), channel catfish (**Robinson and Li, 2008**), hybrid catfish (**Zhou et al., 2010**) and Nile tilapia, *Oreochromis niloticus* (**Li et al., 2011**).

Protein productive value (PPV)

Mean values of protein utilization explained as protein retention and PPV (Table 3) slightly increased in all diets containing DDGS compared with the control diet (D₁). Similar results were reported by **Schaeffer et al. (2009)**, they fed Nile tilapia (*Oreochromis niloticus*) fingerlings diets containing 0, 20, 30 and 40% of DDGS. The protein efficiency ratio did not significantly differ among diets. These results agreed with those reported by **Zhou et al. (2010)**, they mentioned that 30% dietary inclusion rate of DDGS can be used without adverse effect on protein retention. In the same manner, **Salama et al. (2011)** reported that replacing the dietary fish meal (15%) with DDGS in *Oreochromis niloticus* fry diets did not reflect any significant effects on PPV.

Whole body composition

The chemical composition of Nile tilapia fish body on dry matter basis is presented in Table 4. The obtained results cleared that crude protein content was slightly high with all dietary levels of DDGS compared to the basal diet, while the content of ether extract had the opposite trend. These results agreed with **Lim et al. (2007)** who concluded that 20% DDGS can be added to Nile tilapia juvenile's diets without affecting body composition. Moreover, **Choi et al. (2014)** incorporated DDG levels up to 25% in red

seabream juvenile's (*Pagrus major*) diets. The inclusion of DDG did not significantly affect the whole body composition.

Survival rate, the condition factor (K) and hepatosomatic index (HSI)

At the end of the experimental period, survival rate (%), K and HSI were calculated and demonstrated in Table 5. All fish groups received diets containing DDGS achieved survival rates equivalent or superior to the fish group fed the control diet. The best survival rate was observed with D₃ and D₅. These results agreed with those reported by **Robinson and Li (2008)** and **Zhou et al. (2010)** they mentioned that 30% DDGS, can be used without adversely affecting survival rate. Concerning K, all fish groups received diets containing DDGS recorded higher K values than that with the control. The best K value was observed with D₅ (30% DDGS). These results may support with the assumption that heavier fish of a given length are in better condition as stated by **Froese (2006)**.

All inclusion levels of DDGS in tested diets showed insignificant increases of HSI. These results agreed with those reported by **Schaeffer et al. (2009)**. They found that inclusion of DDGS up to 20 % did not affect HSI values, but they also added that HSI values were significantly decreased with increasing inclusion levels to 30 and 40%. Furthermore, **Choi et al. (2014)** added that inclusion of DDG up to 25% in red sea bream diets did not significantly affect HSI values. The insignificant increase of HSI may be attributed to the higher ether extract content (15.51%) of DDGS, which may increase lipid deposition in fish liver.

Economic efficiency of Nile tilapia fish diets

All inclusion levels of DDGS in tested diets led to decrease of total feed cost and the relative feed cost /kg gain (Table 6). The feed cost (LE)/ kg gain was 100, 93.68, 95.23, 88.69 and 86.09 for D₁ (control), D₂, D₃, D₄ and D₅, respectively. **Ebrahim (2008)** and **Gabr et al. (2013)** reported that using of DDGS in Nile tilapia fingerlings, *Oreochromis niloticus* diets had no adverse effects on the fish performance and improved the economic efficiency.

Table 3. Effect of tested diets on feed and protein utilization of Nile tilapia fish

| Item | Experimental diet | | | | |
|----------------------------------|-------------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|
| | D ₁ | D ₂ | D ₃ | D ₄ | D ₅ |
| | (0% DDGS) | (5% DDGS) | (10% DDGS) | (20% DDGS) | (30% DDGS) |
| Total feed intake g /fish | 70.96±1.93 | 71.44±5.60 | 73.68±2.70 | 73.92±1.41 | 75.68±2.07 |
| Feed conversion ratio | 3.20±1.12 | 3.10±1.14 | 3.15±1.39 | 3.05±0.61 | 3.14±1.24 |
| Protein retention (g)* | 3.51 | 3.77 | 3.54 | 3.70 | 3.56 |
| PPV (%)** | 19.17 | 20.97 | 19.50 | 20.68 | 19.80 |

*Protein retention = [final fish body protein in g - initial fish body protein in g].

**Protein productive value (PPV) = [protein retention g / protein intake g] × 100

Table 4. Effect of tested diets on whole body chemical composition of Nile tilapia fish (% on dry matter basis)

| Item | Experimental diet | | | | |
|---|-------------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|
| | D ₁ | D ₂ | D ₃ | D ₄ | D ₅ |
| | (0 DDGS) | (5% DDGS) | (10% DDGS) | (20% DDGS) | (30% DDGS) |
| Organic matter (OM) (%) | 83.79 | 83.04 | 84.04 | 83.63 | 83.95 |
| Crude protein (CP) (%) | 54.69 | 55.04 | 54.96 | 54.76 | 56.10 |
| Crude fat (CF) (%) | 28.72 | 27.42 | 28.63 | 28.59 | 27.67 |
| Nitrogen free extract (NFE) (%)* | 0.38 | 0.58 | 0.45 | 0.28 | 0.18 |
| Ash (%) | 16.21 | 16.96 | 15.96 | 16.37 | 16.05 |

Table 5. Effect of tested diets on the condition factor (K), haepatosomatic index (HSI) and survival rate of Nile tilapia fish

| Item | Experimental diet | | | | |
|--------------------------|-------------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|
| | D ₁ | D ₂ | D ₃ | D ₄ | D ₅ |
| | (0 DDGS) | (5% DDGS) | (10% DDGS) | (20% DDGS) | (30% DDGS) |
| K * | 1.58±0.12 | 1.73±0.22 | 1.65±0.11 | 1.73±0.12 | 1.75±0.13 |
| HSI ** | 2.78±0.43 | 2.79±1.04 | 3.30±0.49 | 3.04±0.88 | 2.97±0.18 |
| Survival rate (%) | 90 | 90 | 95 | 90 | 95 |

* $K = 100 \times (W/L^3)$. Where W body weight (g), L³ body length (cm).

** HSI = [liver weight (g)/body length (cm)] × 100.

Table 6. Effect of tested diets on feed cost (LE)/kg gain

| Item | Experimental diet | | | | |
|--|----------------------------|-----------------------------|------------------------------|------------------------------|------------------------------|
| | D ₁ (0 DDGS) | D ₂ (5% DDGS) | D ₃ (10% DDGS) | D ₄ (20% DDGS) | D ₅ (30% DDGS) |
| Total feed intake (g/fish) ^A | 75.68±2.07 | 73.92±1.41 | 73.68±2.70 | 71.44±5.60 | 70.96±1.93 |
| Diets cost (LE/Kg) ^B | 7.00 | 6.83 | 6.65 | 6.30 | 5.95 |
| Total feed cost (LE/fish) | 0.53 | 0.50 | 0.49 | 0.45 | 0.42 |
| Total body gain (g) ^C | 24.09±1.51 | 24.26±0.95 | 23.39±2.02 | 23.06±3.92 | 22.18±1.42 |
| Feed cost (LE/Kg gain) ^D | 22 | 20.61 | 20.95 | 19.51 | 18.94 |
| Relative feed cost (%) (LE/Kg gain) ^E | 100 | 93.68 | 95.23 | 88.69 | 86.09 |

The price of one kg DDGS and basal diet are 3.50 and 7 LE, respectively (price 2015).

D = (A × B) / C

$$E = \frac{\text{D of tested diets}}{\text{D of basal diet}} \times 100$$

Conclusion

Inclusion of DDGS up to 30% of *Oreochromis niloticus* diets had no adverse effect on growth, feed utilization and improved the economic efficiency of the diet.

REFERENCES

- AOAC (1984). Association of Official Analytical Chemists, Official Methods of Analysis 15th Ed., Washington DC, USA.
- Choi, J., M.M. Rahman and S.M. Lee (2014). Rice distillers dried grain is a promising ingredient as a partial replacement of plant origin sources in the diet for juvenile red sea bream (*Pagrus major*). Asian- Australas J. Anim. Sci., 27 (12): 1736 -1743.
- Coyle, S.D., G.J. Mengel, J.H. Tidwell and C. D. Webster (2004). Evaluation of growth, feed utilization, and economics of hybrid tilapia, (*Oreochromis niloticus* × *Oreochromis aureus*), fed diets containing different protein sources in combination with distillers dried grains with solubles. Aquac. Res., 35: 365 - 370.
- Dickson, M., A. Nasr-Allah, D. Kenawy and F. Kruijssen (2016). Increasing fish farm profitability through aquaculture best management practice training in Egypt. Aquac., 465: 172–178.
- Duncan, D.B. (1955). Multiple Range and Multiple F Test Biometrics, 11: 1-42.
- Ebrahim, M.S.M. (2008). Substitution of soybean meal protein by protein-rich ethanol by-products from corn with canola meal in tilapia *Nilotica* fingerlings (*Oreochromis niloticus*) diets. Egypt. J. Appl. Sci., 23 (10B): 425-435.
- El-Sayed, A.F.M., M.W. Dickson and G.O. El-Naggar (2015). Value chain analysis of the aquaculture feed sector in Egypt. Aquac., 437: 92-101.
- Froese, R. (2006). Cube law, condition factor and weight-length relationships: history, met at analysis and recommendations. J. Appl. Ichthyol., 22 (4): 241-253.
- Gabr, A.A., F.F. Khalil and S.E.M. El-Sharkawy (2013). Utilization of distillers dried grains with solubles in fish nutrition.2- Partial replacement of fish meal and yellow corn by graded levels of DDGS in Nile tilapia fingerlings diets (*Oreochromis niloticus*). J. Anim. and Poul. Prod., Mansoura Univ., 4 (7): 455 – 467.
- Li, E., C. Lim, C. Cai and P.H. Klesius (2011). Growth response and resistance to (*Streptococcus iniae*) of Nile tilapia (*Oreochromis niloticus*), fed diets containing

- different levels of wheat distiller's dried grains with solubles with or without lysine supplementation. *Anim. Feed Sci. and Technol.*, 170: 246 - 255.
- Lim, C., E. Li and P. H. Klesius (2011). Distiller's dried grains with solubles as an alternative protein source in diets of tilapia. *Rev. Aquac.*, 3: 172 - 178.
- Lim, C., J.C. Garcia, M. Yildirim-Aksoy, P.H. Klesius, C.A. Shoemaker and J.J. Evans (2007). Growth response and resistance to (*Streptococcus iniae*) of Nile tilapia (*Oreochromis niloticus*), fed diets containing distiller's dried grains with solubles. *J. World Aquac. Soc.*, 38: 231- 237.
- Robinson, E.H. and M.H. Li (2008). Replacement of soybean meal in channel catfish (*Ictalurus punctatus*), diets with cottonseed meal and distiller's dried grains with solubles. *J. World Aquac. Soc.*, 39: 521-527.
- Salama, F.A., M.N. Ali., S.H. Mohmoud, H.D. Tonsy and M.M.E. Hassouna (2011). Effects on radish root extract on improving the utilization of corn dried distiller's grains with soluble Nile tilapia (*Oreochromis niloticus*) fry diets. *Ame-EurAsian J. Agric. Environ. Sci.*, 10: 638-946.
- SAS (1996). User's Guide: Statistics version 6. 12th Ed. SAS Inst. Inc., Cary, NC.
- Schaeffer, T.W., M.L. Brown and K.A. Rosentrater (2009). Performance characteristics of Nile tilapia (*Oreochromis niloticus*) fed diets containing graded levels of fuel-based distillers dried grains with solubles. *J. Aquac. Feed Sci. and Nutr.*, 1: 78-83.
- Shaalán, M., M. El-Mahdy, M. Saleh and M. El-Matbouli (2018). Aquaculture in Egypt: insights on the current trends and future perspectives for sustainable development. *Rev. Fisheries Sci. and Aquac.*, 26 (1): 99-110.
- Welker, T.L., C. Lim, F.T. Barrows and K. Liu (2014). Use of distiller's dried grains with solubles (DDGS) in rainbow trout feeds. *Anim. Feed Sci. and Technol.*, 195: 47-57.
- Zhou, P., W. Zhang, D.A. Davis and C. Lim (2010). Growth response and feed utilization of juvenile hybrid catfish fed diets containing distiller's dried grains with solubles to replace a combination of soybean meal and cornmeal. *North Ame. J. Aquac.*, 72: 298-303.

تأثير مُجفف نواتج تقطير الحبوب والسوائل على النمو والاستفادة من العلف والكفاءة الاقتصادية لعلائق البلطي النيلي

محمد مصطفى إبراهيم علي خضر^٢ - صبري عبدالحافظ محمد شحاته^١
محمد صلاح محمد إبراهيم^٢ - خالد محمد علي المراكبي^١

١- قسم الإنتاج الحيواني - كلية الزراعة - جامعة الزقازيق - مصر

٢- المعمل المركزي لبحوث الثروة السمكية بالعباسة - شرقية - مصر

صُممت الدراسة الحالية لتقييم أثر إدخال مستويات تدريجية من مُجفف نواتج تقطير الحبوب والسوائل للذرة الصفراء (DDGS) على الأداء الإنتاجي والكفاءة الاقتصادية لعلائق أسماك البلطي النيلي، قُسمت مانتى أصبعية من أسماك البلطي النيلي عشوائياً (بمتوسط وزن ١٢,٨٦ ± ٠,١٤ جم) على خمس مجموعات تجريبية [أربع مكررات (أحواض زجاجية)، عشر إصبعية/حوض زجاجي]، تم تكوين خمس علائق لتحتوي على: ٠ (عليقة المقارنة)، ٥، ١٠، ٢٠ و ٣٠% من الـ DDGS (عليقة ١ أو ٢ و ٣ و ٤ و ٥ على التوالي) كإحلال جزئي من عليقة المقارنة، غُذيت إصبعية البلطي النيلي مرتين يومياً حتى الشبع الظاهري لمدة ١٦ أسبوع، لم تؤثر كل مستويات DDGS معنوياً على متوسط الزيادة في وزن الجسم و معدل النمو النسبي و كفاءة التحويل الغذائي ودليل الكبد الجسمي ومعامل الحالة، تحسن معدل الإعاشة مع العليقة ٥ مقارنة بعليقة المقارنة (العليقة الأولى)، فيما يتعلق بتركيب جسم الأسماك فإن محتواه من البروتين الخام كان أعلى قليلاً منه بمجموعة المقارنة وذلك مع كل مستويات DDGS في العليقة، أما محتوى الجسم من الدهن فكان على العكس من ذلك، أعطت الأسماك التي غُذيت على العلائق المحتوية على DDGS قيمةً لإنتاجية البروتين أفضل من تلك المُغذاة على عليقة المقارنة، خفضت إضافة الـ DDGS في العلائق المختبرة من تكاليف التغذية لكل وحدة نمو، نخلص من ذلك بأن إضافة الـ DDGS حتى مستوى ٣٠% في العلائق المختبرة كان مفيداً اقتصادياً دون أي تأثير معاكس على أداء أسماك البلطي النيلي.

المحكمون:

١- أ.د. عبد الحميد محمد عبد الحميد

٢- أ.د. صبري محمد بسيوني

أستاذ تغذية الحيوان والأسماك - كلية الزراعة - جامعة المنصورة.

أستاذ تغذية الحيوان - كلية الزراعة - جامعة الزقازيق.