



EVALUATION OF YIELD COMPONENTS AND SOME COMPETITIVE INDICES BETWEEN CARAWAY AND ONION PLANTS AS AFFECTED BY INTERCROPPING SYSTEM UNDER DIFFERENT POTASSIUM FERTILIZER RATES

Mohammed A.I. Abdelkader^{1*}, H.G. Zyada² and Enas A. Bardisi²

1. Hort. Dept. (Floriculture), Fac. Agric., Zagazig Univ., Egypt

2. Hort. Dept. (Olericulture), Fac. Agric., Zagazig Univ., Egypt

Received: 17/09/2018 ; Accepted: 23/10/2018

ABSTRACT: A two-years (2016/2017 and 2017/2018) field experiments were carried out at Experimental Farm, Agriculture Faculty, Zagazig University, Egypt to evaluate yield components, potassium content and some competitive indices between caraway and onion at different ridge ratios (sole crop of each components, 1:1, 1:2 and 2:2 of caraway: onion, respectively) under different potassium fertilization rates (0.0, 25 and 50 kg K₂O/fad. A split-plot experiment based on randomized complete block design with three replications was planned. Results indicated that yield components (different grades of yield and exportable, marketable and total yield of onion as well as umbel number/plant, fruit and oil yield/plant and/faddan of caraway), potassium content/plant of each crop and competitive indices between them were affected by experimental factors. In most cases, onion sole crop recorded the highest values in yield components compared to intercropping systems under all potassium fertilization rates. In the same trend, the highest value in each of fruit and volatile oil yield /faddan of caraway was recorded with sole caraway crop. Also, the highest rate of potassium recorded significant increase in these parameters compared to control. Competition indices, *i.e.*, land equivalent ratio (LER), area time equivalent ratio (ATER), land utilization efficiency (LUE%) and relative crowding coefficient (RCC) revealed that, applied intercropping system (1:2) was more efficient than sole cropping and other ones under study when combined with potassium fertilization rate at 50 kg K₂O/faddan, in most cases. Aggressivity (A) estimation indicated that caraway was dominant while onion was dominated. Generally, this mixture seems promising in the development of sustainable crop yield advantages with a limited use of external inputs under Sharkia Governorate conditions.

Key words: Onion, caraway, intercropping system, potassium, yield, LER, ATER, LUE, RCC, aggressivity

INTRODUCTION

Crops diversification with intercropping can give higher yield than sole planting (Mandal *et al.*, 1986). Thus selecting compatible combination of components is necessary for increasing utilization of gridgeth resources, *viz.*, solar energy and water unit area per unit time that will also keep the soil in good physical condition with improvement in yield components. Hence, choice of the different crops in intercropping systems needs to be suitably maneuvered to harvest the synergism among them towards

efficient utilization of resource base and to maximizing overall productivity (Mucheru-Muna *et al.*, 2010). The main concept of intercropping system is to get increased total yield and productivity per unit area and time. Various indices have been developed to indicate the competition and possible advantage in intercropping (Ghosh, 2004; Alizadeh *et al.*, 2010).

Potassium (K) in enzyme activation, plays a role to aid substrate binding by lowering energy barriers in the ground and/or transition states rather than being the agents of causing catalysis

* Corresponding author. Tel. : +2001008002904
Email address: mohammedahmed1980@yahoo.com

(Page and Di Cera, 2006). Minerals such as potassium (K) may affect the gridgeth and essential oil synthesis in aromatic plants and are used by plants to build many processes. This mineral affects the function and levels of enzymes involved in the terpenoid biosynthesis (Hafsi *et al.*, 2014).

Over the past 15 years, the total surface area dedicated to the onion crop in the world has doubled reaching its present figure of 2.74 million ha. (Pelter *et al.*, 1992; FAO, 2002). In addition, Shedeed *et al.* (2015) reported that, onion (*Allium cepa* L.) which belongs to family Alliaceae is one of the commercial vegetable and spice crops in Egypt, not only for local consumption but also for exportation. Onion contemplated a high monetary value crop for Egyptian farmers. However, Egyptian dry, fresh and processed onions are demanded by the international market.

Caraway (*Carum carvi*, L.) plants is a perennial plant, belongs to family Apiaceae, many species of this family usually used in dried state or as fresh herb, as a house hold remedies or as medicinal ingredients. Caraway fruits, commonly called seeds, contain volatile oil, with many terpenoid components (Kocourkova *et al.*, 1999). Caraway is considered a feed stuff that increases milk production, improves taste and digestibility and reduces flatulence of cattle. Caraway volatile oil is used as a natural inhibitor of sprouting, mainly in stored potatoes (Kleinkopf *et al.*, 2003). It has also antiseptic, pain sedative, antispasmodic, depletive and antioxidant properties (Sembratowicz and Czech, 2005; Dyduch *et al.*, 2006).

The most important aim of this study is maximizing onion and caraway plants productivity by using different intercropping systems combined with potassium fertilization rate represented as potassium sulphate under Sharkia Governorate conditions.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

The present work was conducted at the Experimental Farm (Ghazala), Fac. Agric. Zagazig Univ., Egypt, during two consecutive seasons of 2016/2017 and 2017/2018. Intercropping systems (caraway: onion at different ridge ratios; 1:1, 1:2

and 2 : 2 in comparison with sole crop of each specie), different potassium fertilization rate (0.0, 25 and 50 kg K₂O/fad.) and their combinations were used to evaluated yield components, potassium content/plant and some competitive indices of caraway and onion. These treatments were arranged in a split-plot in randomized complete blocks design with 3 replicates. Intercropping systems were randomly arranged in the main plots and potassium fertilization rates were distributed randomly in the sub plots. The physical and chemical properties of the experimental soil site are shown in Table 1, according to Chapman and Pratt (1978).

Onion transplants (cv. Giza 6) of nearly 40 days old were transplanted on 18th and 22th October in first and second seasons, respectively, and fruits of caraway component were sown in the same time. Onion was transplanted at space of 10 cm between hills, on the two sides of the ridge, but fruits of caraway were sown at space of 30 cm in one side of the ridge just after irrigation. After three weeks from planting, germinated plants were thinned to two plants/hill for caraway plant. Experimental plot was 21.6 m² (3 × 7.20 m) included 12 ridges; each ridge was 60 cm apart and 3 m in length.

All plants received normal agricultural practices whenever they needed. All plants were fertilized with nitrogen and phosphorus fertilization at the rate of 200 kg/fad., of ammonium sulphate (20.5%N) and 200 kg/fad., of calcium super phosphate (15.5% P₂O₅). Phosphorus fertilizer was added during soil preparation as a soil dressing application. While, nitrogen and potassium fertilizers were divided into three equal portions and were added to the soil after 30, 55 and 80 days from transplanting and sowing.

Data Recorded

Yield and its components

At maturity, onion plants (150 days after transplanting) from each experimental unit were manually lifted, field-cured for 15 days, in shady place before assessing bulb size. Onion bulbs were weighted, then separated into four grades according to the Ministry of Economic for onion

Table 1: Physical and chemical properties of experimental soil

| Physical analysis | | | | | | | | | | Soil texture | | |
|-------------------|------------------|----------------------|-----------------------------|------------------|-----------------|----------------------------|-------------------------------|------------------------------|--------------------|--------------|----|--|
| Clay (%) | Silt (%) | Fine sand (%) | | | Coarse sand (%) | | | | Clay | | | |
| 56.36 | 9.26 | 17.62 | | | 16.76 | | | | | | | |
| Chemical analysis | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| pH | E C m.mohs/cm | Organic mater (%) | Soluble cations (meq./L) | | | Soluble anions (meq./L) | | | Available (ppm) | | | |
| | | | Mg ⁺⁺ | Ca ⁺⁺ | Na ⁺ | Cl ⁻ | HCO ₃ ⁻ | SO ₄ ⁻ | N | P | K | |
| 7.82 | 0.98 | 0.58 | 2.7 | 1.6 | 4.1 | 4.5 | 1.7 | 3.5 | 18 | 20 | 71 | |

exportation: Grade 1: bulbs with diameter more than 6 cm, grade 2: bulbs with diameter more than 4.5 to 6 cm, grade 3: bulbs with diameter more than 3.5 to 4.5 cm and grade 4: bulbs with diameter less than 3.5 cm and the following data were recorded: marketable yield as ton/fad., (yield of grades 1 + 2 + 3). Exportable yield as ton/fad., (yield of grades 1 + 2) and total yield as ton/fad., (yield of grades 1 + 2 + 3 + 4). For caraway plant (130 days after sowing), umbel number/plant and fruit yield per plant (g) were determined, then total fruit yield per faddan (kg) was calculated. The essential oil from air-dried fruits of caraway plants was isolated by hydro distillation for 3 hr., in order to extract the essential oils according to **Guenther (1961)** and the volatile oil yield per plant and per faddan was calculated.

Potassium content per plant (g)

Samples of dry bulbs of onion and caraway fruits were randomly taken from each treatment for these propose. Furthermore, potassium percentage was determined by using flame photometer according to the method described by **Bridgen and Lilleland (1946)** and K content per plant was calculated.

Competitive Indices

Land equivalent ratio (LER)

This parameter was determined for onion and caraway yield recorded per faddan according to **Mead and Willey (1980)** equation as follows:

$$LER = L_c + L_o,$$

$$L_{\text{caraway}} (L_c) = \frac{Y_{co}}{Y_{cc}}$$

$$L_{\text{onion}} (L_o) = \frac{Y_{oc}}{Y_{oo}}$$

Where L_c and L_o are the relative yield of caraway and onion, respectively, as well as Y_{cc} and Y_{oo} are the yields per faddan of caraway and onion, respectively, as sole crops and Y_{co} and Y_{oc} are the yields of caraway and onion, respectively, as intercrop yields of each components.

Area time equivalent ratio (ATER)

It was calculated according to **Hiebsch and McCollum (1987)** equation as follows:

$$ATER = \frac{Y_{co}/Y_{cc} \times t_c + Y_{oc}/Y_{oo} \times t_o}{T}$$

Where: Y_{co} = intercropped yield of caraway, Y_{cc} = sole yield of caraway, Y_{oc} = intercropped yield of onion, Y_{oo} = sole yield of onion, t_c = the duration of caraway in days, t_o = the duration of onion in days and T = the total duration of intercropping system in days.

Land utilization efficiency (LUE%)

By using LER and ATER values between caraway and onion, the land utilization efficiency (LUE%) was calculated according to **Mason et al. (1986)** equation as follows:

$$LUE = \frac{LER \times ATER}{2} \times 100$$

Relative crowding coefficient (RCC)

Another coefficient that is used is the relative crowding coefficient (RCC) which is a measure of the relative dominance of one specie over the other in a mixture (De Wit, 1960). The RCC or K was calculated as:

$$K = (K_{\text{caraway}} \times K_{\text{onion}}),$$

$$K_{\text{onion} \times \text{caraway}} = \frac{Y_{oc} \times Z_{co}}{(Y_{oo} - Y_{oc}) Z_{oc}} \text{ and}$$

$$K_{\text{caraway} \times \text{onion}} = \frac{Y_{co} \times Z_{oc}}{(Y_{cc} - Y_{co}) Z_{co}}$$

Where Z_{co} is the planting proportion of caraway intercropped with onion and Z_{oc} is the planting proportion of onion intercropped with caraway. When the product of the two coefficients ($K_{\text{caraway}} \times K_{\text{onion}}$) is greater than one, there is a yield advantage, when K is equal to one there is no yield advantage, and when it is less than one there is a disadvantage.

Competitive ratio (CR)

It is another way to assess competition between different crops. The CR gives a better measure of competitive ability of the species and is also advantageous as an index over K and aggressivity (Willey and Rao, 1980). The CR is calculated according to the following formula:

$$CR_{\text{caraway} \times \text{onion}} = \frac{LER_{\text{caraway}}}{LER_{\text{onion}}} \left(\frac{Z_{oc}}{Z_{co}} \right) \text{ and}$$

$$CR_{\text{onion} \times \text{caraway}} = \frac{LER_{\text{onion}}}{LER_{\text{caraway}}} \left(\frac{Z_{co}}{Z_{oc}} \right)$$

Aggrissivity (A)

Mc Gilchrist (1965) equation was used to calculate aggrissivity value as follows:

1. For combination of 50:50 and 100:100, they were calculate according to the following equations:

$$A_{co} = L_c - L_o \text{ and } A_{oc} = L_o - L_c$$

2. For the other intercropping ratios, the equations used were:

$$A_{co} = \frac{Y_{co}}{Y_{cc} \times Z_{co}} - \frac{Y_{oc}}{Y_{oo} \times Z_{oc}} \text{ and}$$

$$A_{oc} = \frac{Y_{oc}}{Y_{oo} \times Z_{oc}} - \frac{Y_{co}}{Y_{cc} \times Z_{co}}$$

Where: Y_{co} = intercropped yield of caraway, Y_{oc} = yield of onion intercropped with caraway, Y_{cc} = sole yield of caraway, Y_{oo} = sole yield of onion, Z_{co} = sowing proportion of caraway. Z_{oc} = sowing proportion of onion.

Statistical Analysis

The statistical layout of this experiment was split-plot experiment in completely randomized block design. Where, intercropping systems were randomly distributed in the main plots, while bio-potassium rates were randomly arranged in sub-plots. Each treatment was included three replicates. Data were analyzed according to Gomez and Gomez (1984). The means were compared using computer program of Statistix version 9 (Analytical software, 2008).

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Yield Components and Potassium Content of Onion

Effect of intercropping systems:

Results exhibited in Tables 2 and 3 reveal the effect of intercropping systems, potassium fertilization rate and their combinations on yield components and potassium content per bulb (g) in the two seasons (2016/2017 and 2017/2018). Sole onion crop had the highest value for each of yield grade 1 and 2, exportable yield, marketable and total yield with significant differences with all intercropping systems under study during both seasons. These paradoxical results may interpreted in the light of that the highest population of onion plants within area unit (faddan) in sole onion could be compensated the high of average bulb weight in this treatment compared with intercropping system treatments.

Abdelkader and Mohsen (2016) found that pure stand of onion gave the highest yield per faddan when onion intercropped with fennel and coriander plants. Moreover, alternating two ridges of caraway with two ridges of onion treatment (2:2 system) recorded significant increase in potassium content per plant compared with the other ones under study. These results are in harmony with those reported by Singh *et al.* (2002) on intercropped mint species within sugarcane.

Table 2. Effect of intercropping system and potassium rate and their combinations on different grades of onion yield (ton/fad.) during 2016/2017 and 2017/2018 seasons

| Intercropping system (caraway: onion) (I) | Potassium rate (kg K ₂ O/faddan) (K) | | | | | | | |
|---|---|-------|-------|----------|------------------|-------|-------|----------|
| | 0.0 | 25 | 50 | Mean (I) | 0.0 | 25 | 50 | Mean (I) |
| | 2016/2017 season | | | | 2017/2018 season | | | |
| | Yield of grade 1 | | | | | | | |
| Sole onion | 3.526 | 3.806 | 3.967 | 3.766 | 3.491 | 3.708 | 3.967 | 3.722 |
| 1 ridge: 1 ridge | 1.488 | 1.534 | 1.578 | 1.533 | 1.536 | 1.571 | 1.645 | 1.584 |
| 1 ridge: 2 ridges | 2.268 | 2.349 | 2.581 | 2.400 | 2.292 | 2.437 | 2.599 | 2.443 |
| 2 ridges: 2 ridges | 1.532 | 1.563 | 1.621 | 1.572 | 1.536 | 1.563 | 1.628 | 1.576 |
| Mean (K) | 2.204 | 2.313 | 2.437 | | 2.214 | 2.320 | 2.460 | |
| LSD at 5% | (I) = 0.038 (K) = 0.033 (I×K) = 0.065 (I) = 0.028 (K) = 0.033 (I×K) = 0.061 | | | | | | | |
| | Yield of grade 2 | | | | | | | |
| Sole onion | 1.822 | 1.953 | 2.082 | 1.953 | 1.911 | 1.978 | 2.125 | 2.005 |
| 1 ridge: 1 ridge | 1.324 | 1.381 | 1.423 | 1.376 | 1.323 | 1.404 | 1.433 | 1.387 |
| 1 ridge: 2 ridges | 1.571 | 1.710 | 1.851 | 1.711 | 1.621 | 1.735 | 1.837 | 1.731 |
| 2 ridges: 2 ridges | 0.991 | 1.050 | 1.116 | 1.052 | 0.976 | 1.017 | 1.119 | 1.038 |
| Mean (K) | 1.427 | 1.523 | 1.618 | | 1.458 | 1.534 | 1.629 | |
| LSD at 5% | (I) = 0.023 (K) = 0.035 (I×K) = 0.061 (I) = 0.039 (K) = 0.014 (I×K) = 0.045 | | | | | | | |
| | Yield of grade 3 | | | | | | | |
| Sole onion | 0.210 | 0.269 | 0.280 | 0.253 | 0.202 | 0.274 | 0.286 | 0.254 |
| 1 ridge: 1 ridge | 0.432 | 0.386 | 0.296 | 0.371 | 0.387 | 0.434 | 0.441 | 0.420 |
| 1 ridge: 2 ridges | 0.208 | 0.259 | 0.213 | 0.226 | 0.182 | 0.274 | 0.212 | 0.222 |
| 2 ridges: 2 ridges | 0.250 | 0.176 | 0.147 | 0.191 | 0.138 | 0.176 | 0.221 | 0.178 |
| Mean (K) | 0.275 | 0.272 | 0.234 | | 0.227 | 0.289 | 0.290 | |
| LSD at 5% | (I) = 0.017 (K) = 0.016 (I×K) = 0.031 (I) = 0.024 (K) = 0.036 (I×K) = 0.063 | | | | | | | |
| | Yield of grade 4 | | | | | | | |
| Sole onion | 0.180 | 0.168 | 0.181 | 0.176 | 0.175 | 0.159 | 0.173 | 0.169 |
| 1 ridge: 1 ridge | 0.057 | 0.074 | 0.072 | 0.068 | 0.091 | 0.062 | 0.024 | 0.059 |
| 1 ridge: 2 ridges | 0.056 | 0.065 | 0.086 | 0.069 | 0.079 | 0.063 | 0.070 | 0.070 |
| 2 ridges: 2 ridges | 0.195 | 0.205 | 0.178 | 0.193 | 0.249 | 0.211 | 0.202 | 0.220 |
| Mean (K) | 0.122 | 0.128 | 0.129 | | 0.148 | 0.123 | 0.117 | |
| LSD at 5% | (I) = 0.006 (K) = 0.009 (I×K) = 0.016 (I) = 0.005 (K) = 0.006 (I×K) = 0.011 | | | | | | | |

Table 3. Effect of intercropping system and potassium rate and their combination on yield components (ton/fad.) and K content/bulb (g) of onion during 2016/2017 and 2017/2018 seasons

| Intercropping system (caraway: onion) (I) | Potassium rate (kg K ₂ O/faddan) (K) | | | | | | | |
|---|---|-------|-------|----------|------------------|-------|-------|----------|
| | 0.0 | 25 | 50 | Mean (I) | 0.0 | 25 | 50 | Mean (I) |
| | 2016/2017 season | | | | 2017/2018 season | | | |
| | Exportable yield (grade 1 + grade 2) | | | | | | | |
| Sole onion | 5.348 | 5.759 | 6.049 | 5.719 | 5.401 | 5.686 | 6.092 | 5.726 |
| 1 ridge: 1 ridge | 2.813 | 2.915 | 3.001 | 2.910 | 2.859 | 2.975 | 3.078 | 2.971 |
| 1 ridge: 2 ridges | 3.840 | 4.059 | 4.432 | 4.110 | 3.913 | 4.172 | 4.436 | 4.174 |
| 2 ridges: 2 ridges | 2.523 | 2.613 | 2.737 | 2.624 | 2.513 | 2.581 | 2.748 | 2.614 |
| Mean (K) | 3.631 | 3.837 | 4.055 | | 3.671 | 3.854 | 4.089 | |
| LSD at 5% | (I) = 0.027 (K) = 0.048 (I×K) = 0.083 (I) = 0.032 (K) = 0.036 (I×K) = 0.068 | | | | | | | |
| | Marketable yield (grade 1 + grade 2+ grade 3) | | | | | | | |
| Sole onion | 5.558 | 6.028 | 6.330 | 5.972 | 5.603 | 5.960 | 6.378 | 5.980 |
| 1 ridge: 1 ridge | 3.245 | 3.301 | 3.297 | 3.281 | 3.246 | 3.409 | 3.519 | 3.391 |
| 1 ridge: 2 ridges | 4.047 | 4.318 | 4.644 | 4.336 | 4.094 | 4.446 | 4.648 | 4.396 |
| 2 ridges: 2 ridges | 2.773 | 2.789 | 2.884 | 2.815 | 2.650 | 2.756 | 2.969 | 2.792 |
| Mean (K) | 3.906 | 4.109 | 4.289 | | 3.898 | 4.143 | 4.378 | |
| LSD at 5% | (I) = 0.034 (K) = 0.051 (I×K) = 0.090 (I) = 0.041 (K) = 0.053 (I×K) = 0.097 | | | | | | | |
| | Total yield (grade 1 + grade 2+ grade 3+ grade 4) | | | | | | | |
| Sole onion | 5.738 | 6.196 | 6.511 | 6.148 | 5.778 | 6.118 | 6.551 | 6.149 |
| 1 ridge: 1 ridge | 3.302 | 3.375 | 3.369 | 3.348 | 3.337 | 3.471 | 3.543 | 3.450 |
| 1 ridge: 2 ridges | 4.103 | 4.383 | 4.730 | 4.405 | 4.173 | 4.509 | 4.718 | 4.467 |
| 2 ridges: 2 ridges | 2.969 | 2.994 | 3.063 | 3.008 | 2.899 | 2.967 | 3.171 | 3.012 |
| Mean (K) | 4.028 | 4.237 | 4.418 | | 4.047 | 4.266 | 4.496 | |
| LSD at 5% | (I) = 0.034 (K) = 0.052 (I×K) = 0.092 (I) = 0.044 (K) = 0.055 (I×K) = 0.100 | | | | | | | |
| | Potassium content/bulb (g) | | | | | | | |
| Sole onion | 2.906 | 3.046 | 3.220 | 3.057 | 2.875 | 3.062 | 3.216 | 3.051 |
| 1 ridge: 1 ridge | 2.798 | 3.075 | 3.267 | 3.033 | 2.696 | 3.104 | 3.229 | 3.010 |
| 1 ridge: 2 ridges | 2.863 | 3.008 | 3.159 | 3.010 | 2.945 | 3.131 | 3.207 | 3.094 |
| 2 ridges: 2 ridges | 3.084 | 3.131 | 3.264 | 3.160 | 3.053 | 3.177 | 3.235 | 3.155 |
| Mean (K) | 2.913 | 3.065 | 3.217 | | 2.892 | 3.118 | 3.221 | |
| LSD at 5% | (I) = 0.064 (K) = 0.076 (I×K) = 0.140 (I) = 0.039 (K) = 0.045 (I×K) = 0.084 | | | | | | | |

Effect of potassium fertilization rates

Results averaged in Tables 2 and 3 show that the highest values of most yield components of onion and potassium content per bulb (g) were significantly increased by potassium fertilization rates compared to control (unfertilized plants) in both seasons. Furthermore, in most cases potassium fertilization rate at (50 kg K₂O/fad.), significantly increased onion yield grades especially grades 1, 2 and 3, exportable yield, marketable yield, total yield and potassium content compared to control during the two seasons.

Effect of combination between intercropping system and potassium rates

The combination between intercropping system and potassium fertilization rate showed that the highest values of onion yield components were recorded when the highest potassium fertilization rate (50 kg/fad.) was combined with sole crop (Tables 2 and 3). On the other side, in most cases, the highest potassium content per bulb was achieved with the highest potassium rate when applied to 2:2 intercropping system in both seasons.

Yield Components and Potassium Content of Caraway

Effect of intercropping systems

Results illustrated in Tables 4 and 5 show that, alternating one ridge of caraway with two ridges of onion treatment (1 : 2 system) recorded significant increase in number of umbels and fruit yield per caraway plant (g), volatile oil percentage and yield per plant (ml) as well as potassium content/plant (g) compared with the other ones under study in the two tested seasons (Tables 4 and 5). Also, all intercropping systems under study significantly increased above mentioned parameters of caraway plant compared to sole crop of caraway in both seasons. **Gendy et al. (2018)** on black cumin when intercropped with fenugreek had reported similar results.

Caraway fruit and volatile oil yield per faddan was decreased with intercropping systems compared to caraway sole cropping (Tables 4 and 5). Such decrease was significant in the first and second seasons. Generally, sole crop system increased fruit and volatile oil yield per faddan

compared with the other intercropping planting systems under study. These paradoxical results can be interpreted in the light of that the higher population of caraway plants within area unit (faddan) in sole caraway or onion planting could be compensated the lack of fruit and volatile oil yield per plant in these treatments compared with 1:1, 1:2 or 2:2 ratios. Similar results were found by **Nurbakhsh et al. (2013)** on sesame intercropped with bean, **Singh et al. (2014)** on mustard intercropped with lentil and **Padma et al. (2018)** on coconut intercropped with *Cymbopogon martini*.

Effect of potassium fertilization rates

Results tabulated in Tables 4 and 5 show that, any potassium rates significantly enhanced the number of umbels/plant, fruit yield per plant and per faddan, volatile oil percentage and yield per plant and per faddan as well as potassium content per plant of caraway compared with control in both seasons. The highest values of yield components of caraway were recorded with the highest rate of potassium fertilization during both seasons. These results are in line with those found by **Meena et al. (2015)** on cowpea plants. Potassium fertilizers proved its role in plant metabolism, carbohydrate synthesis, water transport in xylem, cell elongation. **Pal et al. (2016)** reported that addition of K resulted with higher herb yields of *Thymus serpyllum*.

Effect of combination between intercropping system and potassium rates

As for yield components of fruits and volatile oil of caraway Tables 4 and 5 cleared that alternating 1 ridge of caraway with 2 ridges of onion combined with the highest rate of potassium (50 kg K₂O/faddan) was significantly higher than any combination treatments in the two consecutive seasons. Also, increasing potassium fertilization rate under intercropping systems significantly increased potassium content per plant. The highest yields per faddan of fruits or volatile oil were recorded when the sole caraway was fertilized with the highest potassium rate. These results are in line with those reported by **Sherawat and Singh (2009)** on bean intercropped with potato and fertilized with potassium and **Gendy et al. (2018)** on black cumin when intercropped with fenugreek and fertilized with NPK rates.

Table 4. Effect of intercropping system and potassium rate and their combinations on yield components of caraway during 2016/2017 and 2017/2018 seasons

| Intercropping system (caraway: onion) (I) | Potassium rate (kg K ₂ O/faddan) (K) | | | | | | | |
|---|---|-------------|---------------|----------|------------------|-------------|---------------|----------|
| | 0.0 | 25 | 50 | Mean (I) | 0.0 | 25 | 50 | Mean (I) |
| | 2016/2017 season | | | | 2017/2018 season | | | |
| | Umbel number / plant | | | | | | | |
| Sole caraway | 27.67 | 33.67 | 39.00 | 33.44 | 30.33 | 35.00 | 40.00 | 35.11 |
| 1 ridge: 1 ridge | 36.33 | 45.00 | 50.33 | 43.89 | 35.67 | 45.00 | 49.33 | 43.33 |
| 1 ridge: 2 ridges | 41.00 | 46.33 | 52.00 | 46.44 | 41.67 | 45.00 | 52.67 | 46.78 |
| 2 ridges: 2 ridges | 35.67 | 41.67 | 49.00 | 42.11 | 35.33 | 42.33 | 49.33 | 42.33 |
| Mean (K) | 35.17 | 41.67 | 47.58 | | 35.75 | 42.08 | 47.83 | |
| LSD at 5% | (I) = 0.94 | (K) = 0.72 | (I×K) = 1.50 | | (I) = 1.04 | (K) = 0.87 | (I×K) = 1.76 | |
| | Fruit yield / plant (g) | | | | | | | |
| Sole caraway | 11.08 | 12.87 | 14.12 | 12.69 | 11.87 | 13.23 | 14.18 | 13.09 |
| 1 ridge: 1 ridge | 13.54 | 16.12 | 18.09 | 15.92 | 14.12 | 15.93 | 17.93 | 15.99 |
| 1 ridge: 2 ridges | 14.70 | 16.33 | 20.64 | 17.22 | 14.73 | 15.96 | 20.69 | 17.12 |
| 2 ridges: 2 ridges | 13.60 | 14.81 | 17.36 | 15.26 | 13.36 | 14.98 | 17.95 | 15.43 |
| Mean (K) | 13.23 | 15.03 | 17.55 | | 13.52 | 15.03 | 17.69 | |
| LSD at 5% | (I) = 0.70 | (K) = 0.37 | (I×K) = 0.92 | | (I) = 0.14 | (K) = 0.42 | (I×K) = 0.71 | |
| | Fruit yield/faddan (kg) | | | | | | | |
| Sole caraway | 517.24 | 600.77 | 658.80 | 592.27 | 554.10 | 617.42 | 661.75 | 611.09 |
| 1 ridge: 1 ridge | 315.86 | 376.14 | 422.19 | 371.40 | 329.48 | 371.79 | 418.38 | 373.21 |
| 1 ridge: 2 ridges | 228.62 | 254.08 | 321.02 | 267.91 | 229.09 | 248.22 | 321.80 | 266.37 |
| 2 ridges: 2 ridges | 317.42 | 345.58 | 405.16 | 356.05 | 311.66 | 349.62 | 418.85 | 360.04 |
| Mean (K) | 334.79 | 394.14 | 451.79 | | 356.08 | 396.76 | 455.19 | |
| LSD at 5% | (I) = 17.29 | (K) = 10.34 | (I×K) = 24.11 | | (I) = 7.72 | (K) = 10.68 | (I×K) = 19.05 | |

Table 5. Effect of intercropping system and potassium rate and their combinations on volatile oil yield components and K content /plant (g) of caraway during 2016/2017 and 2017/2018 seasons

| Intercropping system (caraway: onion) (I) | Potassium rate (kg K ₂ O/faddan) (K) | | | | | | | |
|---|---|-------|-------|----------|---------------------------------------|-------|-------|----------|
| | 0.0 | 25 | 50 | Mean (I) | 0.0 | 25 | 50 | Mean (I) |
| | 2016/2017 season | | | | 2017/2018 season | | | |
| Volatile oil percentage | | | | | | | | |
| Sole caraway | 1.220 | 1.223 | 1.333 | 1.259 | 1.240 | 1.297 | 1.377 | 1.304 |
| 1 ridge: 1 ridge | 1.237 | 1.300 | 1.387 | 1.308 | 1.290 | 1.340 | 1.393 | 1.341 |
| 1 ridge: 2 ridges | 1.303 | 1.367 | 1.417 | 1.362 | 1.303 | 1.390 | 1.430 | 1.374 |
| 2 ridges: 2 ridges | 1.243 | 1.320 | 1.383 | 1.316 | 1.303 | 1.353 | 1.400 | 1.352 |
| Mean (K) | 1.251 | 1.302 | 1.380 | | 1.284 | 1.345 | 1.400 | |
| LSD at 5 % | (I) = 0.017 (K) = 0.012 (I×K) = 0.026 | | | | (I) = 0.011 (K) = 0.014 (I×K) = 0.025 | | | |
| Volatile oil yield/plant (ml) | | | | | | | | |
| Sole caraway | 0.135 | 0.157 | 0.188 | 0.160 | 0.147 | 0.171 | 0.195 | 0.171 |
| 1 ridge: 1 ridge | 0.167 | 0.210 | 0.251 | 0.209 | 0.182 | 0.213 | 0.250 | 0.215 |
| 1 ridge: 2 ridges | 0.192 | 0.223 | 0.292 | 0.236 | 0.192 | 0.222 | 0.296 | 0.237 |
| 2 ridges: 2 ridges | 0.169 | 0.195 | 0.240 | 0.201 | 0.174 | 0.203 | 0.251 | 0.209 |
| Mean (K) | 0.166 | 0.196 | 0.243 | | 0.174 | 0.202 | 0.248 | |
| LSD at 5 % | (I) = 0.008 (K) = 0.005 (I×K) = 0.012 | | | | (I) = 0.002 (K) = 0.006 (I×K) = 0.010 | | | |
| Volatile oil yield/faddan (I) | | | | | | | | |
| Sole caraway | 6.312 | 7.350 | 8.785 | 7.483 | 6.874 | 8.006 | 9.110 | 7.997 |
| 1 ridge: 1 ridge | 3.907 | 4.889 | 5.855 | 4.884 | 4.250 | 4.982 | 5.830 | 5.021 |
| 1 ridge: 2 ridges | 2.978 | 3.474 | 4.547 | 3.666 | 2.985 | 3.451 | 4.602 | 3.679 |
| 2 ridges: 2 ridges | 3.947 | 4.564 | 5.604 | 4.705 | 4.062 | 4.732 | 5.863 | 4.886 |
| Mean (K) | 4.286 | 5.069 | 6.198 | | 4.543 | 5.292 | 6.351 | |
| LSD at 5% | (I) = 0.231 (K) = 0.133 (I×K) = 0.316 | | | | (I) = 0.125 (K) = 0.156 (I×K) = 0.284 | | | |
| Potassium content / plant (g) | | | | | | | | |
| Sole caraway | 0.488 | 0.580 | 0.640 | 0.569 | 0.527 | 0.596 | 0.665 | 0.596 |
| 1 ridge: 1 ridge | 0.594 | 0.758 | 0.932 | 0.762 | 0.651 | 0.785 | 0.924 | 0.787 |
| 1 ridge: 2 ridges | 0.688 | 0.852 | 1.087 | 0.876 | 0.692 | 0.835 | 1.085 | 0.871 |
| 2 ridges: 2 ridges | 0.595 | 0.654 | 0.890 | 0.713 | 0.586 | 0.701 | 0.922 | 0.736 |
| Mean (K) | 0.591 | 0.711 | 0.887 | | 0.614 | 0.729 | 0.899 | |
| LSD at 5 % | (I) = 0.033 (K) = 0.018 (I×K) = 0.043 | | | | (I) = 0.030 (K) = 0.029 (I×K) = 0.056 | | | |

Competitive Indices Between Onion and Caraway

Effect of intercropping systems

Total land productivity in terms of LER, ATER, LUE(%) and RCC of relative bulb yield of onion per faddan and relative fruits yield of caraway per faddan as influenced by intercropping system, potassium fertilization rate and their combinations are recorded in Table 6. The highest values of above competitive indices (except that of LER) were observed in 33.33% onion + 66.67% caraway (1: 2 system) in the two seasons. In general, all intercropping systems had higher LER, ATER, LUE% and RCC than sole crop (>1) which indicated the superiority of intercropping over solid planting system. These LER, ATER, LUE% values indicated that 16.7 to 16.1%, 10.7% to 10.3% and 113.70 to 113.17% more land would require to plant the sole crops to produce the same quantities of intercropped yield of caraway and onion produced by using 1:2 intercropping system during the first and second seasons, respectively. Based on definitions, a relative crowding coefficient (RCC) indicates the advantage of intercropping over sole crop. Therefore, it can be concluded that the 1: 2 system due to the highest relative crowding coefficient among different planting proportions, is the best intercropping proportion of caraway and onion. Regardless of the planting systems, aggressivity or dominance index (Ag), based on the results, there was a positive sign for caraway and a negative sign for the intercropped onion, indicating that caraway was dominant while onion was dominated (Table 7). It is known that an aggressivity value of zero indicates that the component crops are equally competitive. For any other situation, two crops will have the same numerical value by positive for the dominant crop and negative for the dominated one. The greater the numerical value, the larger the difference in competitive abilities.

Competitive ratio (CR) is only used as a measure of intercrop competition (inter-specific competition) (Dhima *et al.* (2006). CR for each of caraway and onion plants was significantly influenced by intercropping systems (Table 7). Furthermore, intercropped caraway had higher competitive ratios in all proportions with onion,

indicating that *Carum carvi* plants was more competitive (CR caraway > one) than onion (CR onion < one). However, in all intercropping systems the values of CR for caraway were greater than for onion indicating the dominance of caraway.

The enhancing effect of intercropping on competitive indices was previously reported by Marer *et al.* (2007) in maize intercropped with pigeon pea, Abdelkader and Mohsen (2016) in onion intercropped with fennel and coriander plants and Gendy *et al.* (2017) on roselle when intercropped with cowpea.

Effect of potassium fertilization rates

In most cases there was significant difference between different potassium fertilization rates. This means that increasing potassium fertilization rate had effect on LER, ATER, LUE% and RCC values (Table 6). Generally, the highest potassium fertilization rate (50 kg K₂O/fad.) significantly increased all competitive indices during the two seasons. All above mentioned indices were greater than unity, thus demonstrating yield advantages for potassium fertilization compared to control (unfertilized plants).

However, the highest rate of potassium (50 kg/faddan) significantly increased competitive ratio and aggressivity compared to the other rates in both seasons.

Effect of combination between intercropping system and potassium rates

Results tabulated in Tables 6 and 7 reveal that, concerning the interaction treatments, all combinations between intercropping system and potassium fertilization rate produced higher LER, ATER, LUE% and RCC values (more than one unit). In all the combinations treatments, ATER values were smaller than LER values (Table 6), indicating the over estimation of resource utilization in the latter. Thus contrary to LER, ATER is free from problems of over estimation of resource utilization. In addition, the best treatment in this parameters as well as aggressivity was the combination between 1: 2 intercropping system with 50 kg/fad., of potassium fertilization rate. However, the highest values of competitive ratio for caraway (CR caraway) were achieved by combination between 1: 2 system and 25 kg/fad., potassium

Table 6. Effect of intercropping system and potassium rate and their combinations on LER, ATER, LUE(%) and RCC indices between caraway and onion components during 2016/2017 and 2017/2018 seasons

| Intercropping system (caraway: onion) (I) | Potassium rate (kg K ₂ O/faddan) (K) | | | | | | | |
|---|---|--------|--------|----------|------------------|--------|--------|----------|
| | 0.0 | 25 | 50 | Mean (I) | 0.0 | 25 | 50 | Mean (I) |
| | 2016/2017 season | | | | 2017/2018 season | | | |
| Land equivalent ratio (LER) | | | | | | | | |
| 1 ridge: 1 ridge | 1.187 | 1.172 | 1.158 | 1.172 | 1.172 | 1.170 | 1.173 | 1.171 |
| 1 ridge: 2 ridges | 1.157 | 1.130 | 1.214 | 1.167 | 1.137 | 1.139 | 1.207 | 1.161 |
| 2 ridges: 2 ridges | 1.133 | 1.059 | 1.085 | 1.092 | 1.066 | 1.051 | 1.117 | 1.078 |
| Mean (K) | 1.159 | 1.120 | 1.152 | | 1.125 | 1.120 | 1.166 | |
| LSD at 5 % | (I) = 0.035 (K) = 0.031 (I×K) = 0.055 (I) = 0.012 (K) = 0.017 (I×K) = 0.031 | | | | | | | |
| Area time equivalent ratio (ATER) | | | | | | | | |
| 1 ridge: 1 ridge | 1.106 | 1.088 | 1.073 | 1.089 | 1.093 | 1.089 | 1.089 | 1.090 |
| 1 ridge: 2 ridges | 1.098 | 1.074 | 1.149 | 1.107 | 1.081 | 1.085 | 1.142 | 1.103 |
| 2 ridges: 2 ridges | 1.051 | 0.982 | 1.003 | 1.012 | 0.991 | 0.976 | 1.032 | 0.999 |
| Mean (K) | 1.085 | 1.048 | 1.075 | | 1.054 | 1.050 | 1.088 | |
| LSD at 5 % | (I) = 0.031 (K) = 0.027 (I×K) = 0.049 (I) = 0.012 (K) = 0.017 (I×K) = 0.027 | | | | | | | |
| Land utilization efficiency (LUE%) | | | | | | | | |
| 1 ridge: 1 ridge | 114.63 | 113.00 | 111.57 | 113.07 | 113.26 | 112.93 | 113.09 | 113.09 |
| 1 ridge: 2 ridges | 112.80 | 110.22 | 118.13 | 113.72 | 110.89 | 111.21 | 117.42 | 113.17 |
| 2 ridges: 2 ridges | 109.20 | 102.03 | 104.43 | 105.22 | 102.80 | 101.36 | 107.47 | 103.88 |
| Mean (K) | 112.21 | 108.42 | 111.37 | | 108.99 | 108.50 | 112.66 | |
| LSD at 5 % | (I) = 3.304 (K) = 2.885 (I×K) = 5.215 (I) = 1.219 (K) = 1.886 (I×K) = 2.922 | | | | | | | |
| Relative crowding coefficient (RCC) | | | | | | | | |
| 1 ridge: 1 ridge | 2.137 | 2.031 | 1.918 | 2.029 | 2.007 | 1.986 | 2.027 | 2.007 |
| 1 ridge: 2 ridges | 7.973 | 7.152 | 10.123 | 8.416 | 7.387 | 7.567 | 9.771 | 8.241 |
| 2 ridges: 2 ridges | 1.751 | 1.270 | 1.424 | 1.482 | 1.308 | 1.231 | 1.622 | 1.386 |
| Mean (K) | 3.954 | 3.484 | 4.488 | | 3.567 | 3.594 | 4.473 | |
| LSD at 5 % | (I) = 0.790 (K) = 0.466 (I×K) = 1.022 (I) = 0.397 (K) = 0.512 (I×K) = 0.822 | | | | | | | |

Table 7. Effect of intercropping system and potassium rate and their combination on competitive ratio (CR) and aggressivity values (Ag) indices between caraway and onion components during 2016/2017 and 2017/2018 seasons

| Intercropping system (caraway: onion) (I) | Potassium rate (kg K ₂ O/faddan) (K) | | | | | | | |
|--|---|---------|---------|----------|---------------------------------------|---------|---------|----------|
| | 0.0 | 25 | 50 | Mean (I) | 0.0 | 25 | 50 | Mean (I) |
| | 2016/2017 season | | | | 2017/2018 season | | | |
| Competitive ratio for caraway (CRc) | | | | | | | | |
| 1 ridge: 1 ridge | 1.063 | 1.152 | 1.239 | 1.151 | 1.030 | 1.062 | 1.169 | 1.087 |
| 1 ridge: 2 ridges | 1.238 | 1.196 | 1.342 | 1.258 | 1.903 | 1.906 | 1.893 | 1.901 |
| 2 ridges: 2 ridges | 1.190 | 1.191 | 1.307 | 1.229 | 1.125 | 1.168 | 1.308 | 1.200 |
| Mean (K) | 1.164 | 1.179 | 1.296 | | 1.353 | 1.379 | 1.456 | |
| LSD at 5 % | (I) = 0.060 (K) = 0.063 (I×K) = 0.107 | | | | (I) = 0.012 (K) = 0.054 (I×K) = 0.077 | | | |
| Competitive ratio for onion (CRo) | | | | | | | | |
| 1 ridge: 1 ridge | 0.943 | 0.870 | 0.808 | 0.874 | 0.971 | 0.942 | 0.856 | 0.923 |
| 1 ridge: 2 ridges | 0.808 | 0.838 | 0.746 | 0.797 | 0.525 | 0.524 | 0.528 | 0.526 |
| 2 ridges: 2 ridges | 0.844 | 0.840 | 0.766 | 0.817 | 0.893 | 0.858 | 0.765 | 0.839 |
| Mean (K) | 0.865 | 0.849 | 0.773 | | 0.796 | 0.775 | 0.716 | |
| LSD at 5 % | (I) = 0.046 (K) = 0.045 (I×K) = 0.078 | | | | (I) = 0.011 (K) = 0.041 (I×K) = 0.058 | | | |
| Aggressivity values for caraway (Ag_{co}) | | | | | | | | |
| 1 ridge: 1 ridge | + 0.036 | + 0.082 | + 0.123 | + 0.080 | + 0.017 | + 0.035 | + 0.091 | + 0.048 |
| 1 ridge: 2 ridges | + 0.255 | + 0.208 | + 0.372 | + 0.278 | + 0.159 | + 0.101 | + 0.379 | + 0.213 |
| 2 ridges: 2 ridges | + 0.098 | + 0.092 | + 0.144 | + 0.112 | + 0.062 | + 0.081 | + 0.149 | + 0.097 |
| Mean (K) | + 0.130 | + 0.128 | + 0.213 | | + 0.079 | + 0.072 | + 0.206 | |
| LSD at 5 % | (I) = 0.045 (K) = 0.043 (I×K) = 0.075 | | | | (I) = 0.016 (K) = 0.049 (I×K) = 0.071 | | | |
| Aggressivity values for onion (Ag_{oc}) | | | | | | | | |
| 1 ridge: 1 ridge | - 0.036 | - 0.082 | - 0.123 | - 0.080 | - 0.017 | - 0.035 | - 0.091 | - 0.048 |
| 1 ridge: 2 ridges | - 0.255 | - 0.208 | - 0.372 | - 0.278 | - 0.159 | - 0.101 | - 0.379 | - 0.213 |
| 2 ridges: 2 ridges | - 0.098 | - 0.092 | - 0.144 | - 0.112 | - 0.062 | - 0.081 | - 0.149 | - 0.097 |
| Mean (K) | - 0.130 | - 0.128 | - 0.213 | | - 0.079 | - 0.072 | - 0.206 | |
| LSD at 5 % | (I) = 0.045 (K) = 0.043 (I×K) = 0.075 | | | | (I) = 0.016 (K) = 0.049 (I×K) = 0.071 | | | |

fertilization rate, in contrary, the highest values of competitive ratio for onion (CR onion) were achieved by combination between 1: 1 system without potassium fertilization application in both seasons. These results are in line with those reported by **Gendy *et al.* (2018)** on black cumin when intercropped with fenugreek and fertilized with NPK rates.

Conclusion

In general, the results showed that the yield components and potassium content of onion and caraway were significantly affected by the treatments under study. Ridge planting of onion and caraway led to yield improvement in intercropping system fertilized by potassium. LER, ATER, LUE% and RCC values in all intercropping systems was > 1 and the highest value was obtained in 1: 2 system fertilized with 50 kg K₂O/fad., of potassium fertilization rate. Also, with respect to the aggression power, the caraway was determined as the dominant component. This study suggests that caraway/onion association should be used by farmers instead of onion or caraway sole crops, especially at 1:2 cropping system with 50 kg/fad., of potassium fertilization, under Sharkia Governorate condition.

REFERENCES

- Abdelkader, M.A.I. and A.A.M. Mohsen (2016). Effect of intercropping patterns on gridgeth, yield components, chemical constituents and competition indices of onion, fennel, and coriander plants. *Zagazig J. Agric. Res.* 43 (1): 67-83.
- Alizadeh, Y., A. Koocheki and M. Nassiri Mahallati (2010). Yield, yield components and potential weed control of intercropping bean (*Phaseolus vulgaris* L.) with sweet basil (*Ocimum basilicum* L.). *Iranian J. Field Crops Res.*, 7 (2): 541-553.
- Analytical Software (2008). Statistix Version 9, Analytical Software, Tallahassee, Florida, USA.
- Brigden, J.D. and O. Lilleland (1946). Rapid determination of potassium and sodium in plant material and soil extracts by Flame Photometry. *Proc. Ame. Soc. Hort. Sci.*, 48: 341-46.
- Chapman, D.H. and R.F. Pratt (1978). *Methods of Analysis for Soils, Plants and Waters*. Div. Agric. Sci. Univ. California USA, 16-38.
- De Wit, C.T. (1960). On competition. *Verslag Landbouw-Kundige Onderzoek*, 66: 1-28.
- Dhima, K.V., A.S. Lithourgidis and C.A. Dordas (2006). Competition indices of common vetch and cereal intercrops in two seeding ratio. *Field Crop Res.*, 100 : 249-256.
- Dyduch, J., A. Najda and N. Brzozowski (2006). Gridgeth and chemical content of caraway (*Carum carvi*, L.) in the first year of cultivation. *Folia. Hort.*, 1:108-112.
- FAO (2002). *FAO Statistical Database (online)*. Consultation 26 March 2003. <http://www.fao.org/page/collections?Suset=agricultur e& language=ES>.
- Gendy, A.S.H., M.A. Abdelkader, N.Z.A. El-Naggar and H.A. Elakkad (2018). Effect of intercropping systems and NPK foliar application on productivity and competition indices of black cumin and fenugreek. *Current Sci. Int.*, 7 (3): 387-40.
- Gendy, A.S.H., W.S. Nosir and D.A.S. Nawar (2017). Evaluation of competitive indices between roselle and cowpea as influenced by intercropping system and bio-fertilization type. *Middle East J. Agric. Res.*, 6 (1): 199-207.
- Ghosh, P.K. (2004). Gridgeth, yield, competition and economics of groundnut/ cereal fodder intercropping systems in the semi-arid tropics of India. *Field Crops Res.*, 88 (2-3): 227-237.
- Gomez, N.K. and A.A. Gomez (1984). *Statistical Procedures for Agricultural Research*. 2nd Ed., John Wiley and sons, New York. USA, 680.
- Guenther, E. (1961). *The Essential Oils*, 1: D. Von Nostrand Comp., New York, 236.
- Hafsi, C., A. Debez and C. Abdelly (2014). Potassium deficiency in plants: effects and signaling cascades. *Acta Physiol. Pl.*, 36: 1055-1070.

- Hiebsch, C.K. and R.E. McCollum (1987). Area \times time equivalency ratio: a method for evaluating the productivity of intercrops. *Agron. J.*, 79: 15–22.
- Kleinkopf, G.E, N.A. Oberg and N.L. Olsen (2003). Sprout inhibition in storage: Current status, new chemistries and natural compounds. *Ame. J. Potato Res.*, 80 (5): 317-327.
- Kocourkova, B., J. Sedlakova and V. Holubova (1999). Morfologické akvalitativniznakyregistrovanychodrud. In : Proc. Conf. Caraway in resent plant production. MZLU Brno: 34-41.
- Mandal, B.K., S. Dasgupta and P.K. Ray (1986). Yield of wheat mustard and chickpea gridgen as sole and intercrops with four moisture rigimes. *Indian J. Agric. Sci.*, 56(8): 577-583.
- Marer, S.B., B.S. Lingaraju and G.B. Shashidhara (2007). Productivity and economics of maize and pigeon pea intercropping under Rained condition in northern transitional zone of Karnataka. *Karnataka J. Agric. Sci.*, 20 (1):1-3.
- Mason, S.C., D.E. Leihner and J.J. Vorst (1986). Cassava-cowpea and cassava-peanut intercropping. 1. Yield and land use efficiency. *Agron. J.*, 78: 43-46.
- Mc Gilchrist, C.A. (1965). Analysis of competition experiments. *Biometrics*, 21: 975- 985.
- Mead, R. and R.W. Willey (1980). The concept of a 'land equivalent ratio' and advantages in yields from intercropping. *Exp. Agric.*, 16: 217–228.
- Meena, J.S., H.P. Verma and P. Pinki (2015). Effect of fertility levels and biofertilizers on gridgeth and yield of cowpea on sandy loam soil of Rajasthan. *Asian J. Soil Sci.*, 10(1): 55-58.
- Mucheru-Muna, M., P. Pypers, D. Mugendi, J. Kung'u and J. Mugwe (2010). A staggered maize-legume intercrop ratio robustly increases crop yields and economic returns in the highlands of Central Kenya. *Field Crops Res.*, 115: 132-139.
- Nurbakhsh, F., A. Koocheki and M.N. Mahallati (2013). Evaluation of yield, yield components and different intercropping indices in mixed and ridge intercropping of sesame (*Sesamum indicum* L.) and bean (*Phaseolus vulgaris* L.). *Int. J. Agric. and Crop Sci.*, 17 (5):1958-1965.
- Padma, E., G. Ramanandam, A.V.D. Dorajee Rao, M. Kalpana and H.P. Maheswarappa (2018). Performance of medicinal and aromatic crops as intercrops in coconut garden under east coast of Andhra Pradesh. *Int. J. Pure App. Biosci.*, 6(2): 421-426.
- Page, M.J. and E. Di Cera (2006). Role of Na⁺ and K⁺ in enzyme function. *Physiol. Rev.*, 86: 1049-1092.
- Pal, J., R.S. Adhikari and J.S. Negi (2016). Effect of nitrogen, phosphorus and potassium on gridgeth and green herb yield of *Thymus serpyllum*. *Int. J. Curr. Microbiol. App. Sci.*, 5 (1): 406- 410.
- Pelter, G.Q., E.J. Sorensen, R.E. Thornton and R. Stevens (1992). Dry bulb onion production in the Columbia basin. Bull. EB1693. Western Washington State Univ. Coop. Ext., Pullman.
- Sembratowicz, I. and A. Czech (2005). Natural antioxidants in the food. *Post. NaukRoln.* 1: 75-88.
- Shedeed, S.I., S.A.A. El-Sayed and D.M. Abo Bash (2015). Effectiveness of bio-fertilizers with organic matter on the gridgeth, yield and nutrient content of onion (*Allium cepa* L.) plants. *European Int. J. Sci. and Technol.*, 3 (9): 115-122.
- Sherawat, S. and O.P. Singh (2009). Effect of nitrogen and potassium on gridgeth and yield of French bean and potato gridgen in intercropping system. *Int. J. Agric. Sci.*, 5 (1): 168-172.
- Singh, A.K., R.K. Singh and U. Singh (2014). Production potential and competitive indices of Indian mustard (*Brassica juncea* L.) based intercropping with wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.) and lentil (*Lens culinaris* L.) under different ridge ratios of eastern Uttar Pradesh. *Archives of Agron. and Soil Sci.*, 60 (2): 225-237.
- Singh, U.B., S.K. Kothari and B.S. Verma (2002). Gridgeth, yield and quality of mint species as intercrop in sugarcane under sub-tropical climate of north Indian plains. *J. Med. and Aromatic Crops*, 24 (1): 60-64.
- Willey, R. W. and M. R. Rao (1980). A competitive ratio for quantifying competition between intercrops. *Exp. Agric.*, 16:105–117.

تقييم المساهمات المحصولية وبعض مؤشرات التنافس بين نباتات الكراوية والبصل باستخدام نظم التحميل تحت معدلات السماد البوتاسي المختلفة

محمد أحمد إبراهيم عبد القادر^١ – هاني جمال زيادة^٢ – إيناس عبد الله برديسي^٢

١- قسم البساتين (زينة)- كلية الزراعة - جامعة الزقازيق - مصر

٢- قسم البساتين (خضر)- كلية الزراعة - جامعة الزقازيق - مصر

أجريت تجربتان حقليتان خلال عامي (٢٠١٦/٢٠١٧ و ٢٠١٧/٢٠١٨) بالمزرعة التجريبية بغزالة، كلية الزراعة، جامعة الزقازيق، مصر لتقييم المساهمات المحصولية والمحتوى من البوتاسيوم وبعض مؤشرات التنافس بين الكراوية والبصل بنسب زراعة مختلفة (المحصول المنفرد لكل محصول، ١:١، ٢:١ و ٢:٢ من الكراوية: البصل، على الترتيب) تحت معدلات مختلفة من السماد البوتاسي (صفر، ٢٥ و ٥٠ كجم بو١/فدان)، صممت التجربة كقطع منشقة مرة واحدة في قطاعات كاملة العشوائية في ثلاث مكررات، أوضحت النتائج، أن عوامل الدراسة قد أثرت على المساهمات المحصولية (الدرجات المختلفة لمحصول البصل، والمحصول المصدر والمحصول المسوق والمحصول الكلي كذلك، عدد النورات/ نبات ومحصول الثمار والزيت العطري/نبات و/فدان للكراوية) ومحتوى البوتاسيوم/نبات لكل مكون ومؤشرات التنافس بينهما، في معظم الحالات، سجل المحصول المنفرد من البصل أعلى القيم للمساهمات المحصولية مقارنة بنظم التحميل تحت جميع معدلات التسميد البوتاسي، في نفس الصدد، سجلت أعلى القيم من محصول الثمار والزيت العطري/فدان مع المحصول المنفرد من الكراوية، أيضاً، أدى استخدام أعلى معدل من البوتاسيوم إلى زيادة معنوية في تلك الصفات مقارنة بالكنترول، أظهرت مؤشرات التنافس لكل من نسبة المكافئ الأرضي ونسبة المكافئ الأرضي لوحدة الزمن وكفاءة استخدام الأرض ومعامل الحشد النسبي، أن استخدام نظام التحميل ١: ٢ كان أفضل من الزراعة المنفردة ومعاملات التحميل الأخرى تحت الدراسة عند تداخله مع معدل التسميد البوتاسي ٥٠ كجم بو١/فدان في معظم الحالات، أوضح مقياس العدوانية أن الكراوية كان المحصول السائد بينما البصل كان المحصول المسود عليه، عموماً، تبدو هذه المعاملة ملائمة لتحسين إنتاجية تلك المحاصيل مع تقليل استخدام المعاملات الإضافية تحت ظروف محافظة الشرقية.

المحكمون:

١- أ.د. السيد السيد محمد أبو الخير

٢- د. أحمد شاكر حسين جنيدي

أستاذ الخضر – مركز البحوث الزراعية.

أستاذ الزينة المساعد – كلية الزراعة – جامعة الزقازيق.