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ABSTRACT

Surimi is the fish protein prepared by washing minced fish flesh after removing thorn. Catfish (Karmout) has many of undesirable characteristics including the short storage life. Production of surimi from Karmout consumes large amounts of washing water. In general, changes due washing should be studied first before trials to decrease the water consumption during processing, which was the aim of this investigation.

In the present work, the effect of washing on the quality of minced catfish using three washing steps to obtain Karmout surimi was investigated. By this method the minced flesh washed using NaHCO3 (0.2%), followed by distilled water and lastly with NaCl (0.15%). Our results revealed that most loss in yield occurred through the 2nd step (distilled water) and the 3rd step (NaCl) of washing. As a result of washing the per cent of moisture, total protein and salt extractable protein increased, while fat and ash levels decreased. Furthermore, it was found that the pH value showed a slight increase due to washing. The three washing steps improved the water holding capacity of minced fish especially at the 1st step of washing. Values of total volatile bases nitrogen and trimethylamine as well as the thiobarbituric acid value decreased gradually due to washing.
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INTRODUCTION

Seafoods and their products are recognized as an important source of nutrients; this led to increasing consumption. Protein, lipids and bioactive components from seafoods have unique characteristics that differ from those of land animals (Shahidi, 1997). Surimi is the stabilized myofibrillar protein obtained from mechanically deboned fish flesh that is washed with water, mixed with cryoprotectants, and then frozen (Park and Morrissey, 2000).

The majority of channel catfish (locally called Karmout) is distributed in ice and mainly sold as fresh whole. Karmout has low market values as compared to other species of fresh water fish. It has many undesirable characteristics such as rapid development of rancid off-flavor, changes in color, water holding capacity, texture and low nutritive value (Thed et al., 1993). The short shelf life of frozen catfish tissue is attributed to the formation of rancid odors and flavors, which arise from the oxidation of unsaturated fatty acids (Erickson, 1993). The process to produce catfish surimi requires large volume of water to remove blood, pigments, lipids and water-soluble proteins from minced meat. Reduction of the water volume required during washing steps would lower the production cost and reduce space required for waste water treatment as well as is ecologically important environmental to reduce the problems of pollution.

El-Sharnouby and Moharram (1994) used stepwise washing system to wash bolti mince using 0.2% NaHCO₃; distilled water and 0.15% NaCl, respectively. Changes due to the three washing steps should be studied first before trials to decrease the water consumed during processing. Therefore, El-Shourbagy et al. (2003) found that the yield, pH, total volatile nitrogen (TVB-N), thiobarbituric acid (TBA) and microbiological count for the washing minced catfish were lower than the unwashed mince. Also, minced meat recovered from filleted catfish frames yields 50% to 75% of the frames total weight (Hoke 1993). The present research was conducted to examine the effect of washing on the biochemical and quality characteristics of washed catfish mince prepared using the traditional method (three washing steps).
MATERIALS AND METHODS

Fresh catfish (Clarias gariepinus) Karmout were purchased from a local market in Zagazig city at Sharkia governorate, Egypt, in spring season of 2005. The total fish weight was two hundred and thirty kilograms of live catfish. Fish were washed thoroughly, head and gut removed, filleted and skinned. Mince was prepared from skinless fillets using a Moulinex meat minced. The obtained mince was subjected to stepwise washing using first 0.2% NaHCO$_3$, then distilled water and lastly 0.15%NaCl, using 3:1 (V:W) solution to mince ratio. The mince was stirred into the liquid and allowed to settle after which the solution was drained from the mince.

Analytical Methods

Moisture, protein, fat and ash contents were determined according to the methods described by (AOAC, 2005). The pH value was assessed as described by Carball et al. (1995). Water holding capacity (WHC) was determined according to the method mentioned by Jin et al. (2007). Salt extractable protein was measured according to the method recommended by Webb et al. (1976). Determination of total volatile bases nitrogen (TVB-N) and trimethylamine (TMA) carried out as recommended by the A.M.C. (1991). thiobarbituric acid (TBA) was determined according to the method mentioned by Tarladgis et al. (1960). The concentration of heavy metals mercury (Hg), lead (Pb) and cadmium (Cd) were determined using Atomic Absorption Spectrophotometar according to Diaz et al. (1994).

Microbiological Determinations

Total bacterial count were determined as recommended by Ravendra and Amjad (2005). Psychrophilic plate count were counted according to the method mentioned by Difico (1977). After counting the growth colonies, the CFU/g was calculated as follows:

\[ \text{CFU/g} = (\text{DF}) \times (\text{Colonies count}) \]

Whereas: \( \text{DF} = \) Dilution factor.
\( \text{CFU} = \) Colony forming units.

Coliform group was counted in pouring plates of MacConkey agar medium (Oxid Unipath LTD., Basingstoke, Hampshire, England). The plates were incubated at 37°C for 24-28 hrs as described by Mossel (1975).
RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Effect of Mincing and Washing Method on the Yield Percentage of Minced Catfish (Karmout)

Togoda et al. (1992) reported that the yield varies according to the original size of the fish, the presence or absence of roe and the season. However, the yield should be determined empirically for each processing plant and the process should be adjusted in such a manner that the yield falls within the specified limits. Table 1 showed that the yield of minced fish was 45.61% of whole fish weight. In this concern Suvanich et al., (2000) reported that the total yield of minced fish flesh from various fish species ranges from 37 to 60% based upon round weigh. Thus, Awad and Abdel-Aal, (1994) found that the yield of Nile Karmout fish (Claries lazera) fillet was from 49.06 to 47.0%.

Data in the same Table also reveals that the yield after the first washing step by NaHCO₃ was 32.38% while the second washing step with distilled water gave the highest mince yield (33.25%), may be due to water absorbed by mince protein. After third washing step the mince yield again decreased to 27.96%. The observed losses in mince during the stepwise washing process induced by removal of the water soluble substances, fat and small mince meat particles during washing and dewatering processes.

These results are in agreement with that reported by Lee, (1986) who mentioned that a considerable quantity of soluble materials were lost during washing and most of the mince washing losses (30% on a mince meat basis) occurred during dewatering as a result of passing the filter. Additionally, substances removed within the second and third washing were markedly less significance comparing with those between first and second washing. Abdel-Aal and Ibrahim (2000) found that the yield after washing and dewatering ranged between 18-33% (as whole fish weight).

Effect of Washing Method on Chemical Composition of Minced Catfish (Karmout)

The data presented in Table 2 show that moisture content of unwashed fish flesh was 76.05% increased to 79.53% after the first washing step with NaHCO₃. This increment was more pronounced after the second washing step with
Table 1. Effect of the three washing steps on yield percentage of minced catfish (Karmout)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Treatments</th>
<th>Yield from whole fish (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Whole fish</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Minced fish</td>
<td>45.61</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>First washing step (NaHCO₃ 0.2%)</td>
<td>32.38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Second washing step (Distilled water)</td>
<td>33.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Third washing step (NaCl 0.15%)</td>
<td>27.96</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2. Effect of washing by various solutions on chemical composition of minced fish flesh

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Constituents (%)</th>
<th>Unwashed minced fish</th>
<th>Washing solution</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>NaHCO₃ 0.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Moisture</td>
<td>76.05</td>
<td>79.53</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fat</td>
<td>15.59</td>
<td>10.43</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Protein</td>
<td>79.2</td>
<td>81.45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ash</td>
<td>4.68</td>
<td>2.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carbohydrate*</td>
<td>0.52</td>
<td>6.08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S.E.P.**</td>
<td>42.53</td>
<td>46.36</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*By difference. **Salt extractable protein.  a. Wet weight basis.  b. Dry weight basis.
distilled water attained to 81.14%. This expansion might due to the water absorbed by protein molecules. The third washing step with 0.15% NaCl solution, however reduced the water content from 81.14% to 80.39%. This may be attributed to washing with saline solution. These findings are in agreement with El-Shourbagy et al. (2003) who stated that dewatering after washing process adjusted moisture content of washed mince to approximately that of intact fillet fish muscle.

Furthermore data in Table (1) indicated that fat content of unwashed minced fish was 15.59% decreased to 10.43%, to 8.51 and 5.34% (at dry weight basis) after the first, second and third washing steps, respectively. Separation of fat during washing steps was attributed to differences in density and polarity between fat and aqueous solution. In addition during the water washing process, lipid is normally removed from the adipose cell. Neutral lipids are typically presented at the top layer after washing and can be easily removed (Tadpitchayangkoon and Yongsawatdigul, 2009). Same trend was observed also in ash content whereas it was decreased after the first and second washing steps. However, after the third washing steps the concentration of ash and carbohydrates enhanced. This may be due to removing of fat from the mince by washing. This is consistent with findings obtained by Kim et al. (1996) and Mahmoud (2006).

Removal of these constituents was reflected on the protein content (Table 2) which increased from 79.2% for unwashed minced to 81.45% after the first washing with NaHCO₃. Similarly there was an increase after second washing to 83.75%. (on dry weight basis). The same trend was observed after third washing, where the proteins content was 86.21%. These results are confirmed with those stated by Lee et al. (1990), Kim et al. (1996) and Amira (2001) whose reported that washing and dewatering steps concentrated the protein.

Some increase in carbohydrate content was remarked after washing steps. This may attributed to remove of fat and ash from mince by washing. These results in line with that reported by Mahmoud (2006) who found that the carbohydrates in surimi catfish was 7.77%. From the same Table it could be noticed that salt extractable protein (SEP) of
unwashed mince was 42.53\% (from the total protein) increased to 46.36\%, 47.42\% and 48.11\% (from the total protein) for first, second and third washing mince, respectively. These results are in agreement with Lee et al. (1991) who reported that the salt extractable protein content increased with additional washing steps from 76\% to 96.2\% after four steps of washing. Amira (2001), also declared that washing and dwatering steps concentrated the proteins, predominantly as salt extractable protein.

**Influence of Three Different Washing Steps on Quality of Minced Catfish (Karmout)**

Data in Table (3) indicated that there is slight change in pH of fish flesh noticed after washing steps. The greatest effect occurred by the first washing step with NaHCO$_3$, as pH was 6.44 for unwashed mince, increased to 6.64. The second washing step with distilled water caused slight rise in pH to 6.67, raised to 6.6 by the third washing El-Sharnouby and Moharram (1994) found that pH of washed bolti surimi varied from 6.8 to 7 according to washing system which was in agreement with the results reported in present work. The same table shows that WHC of unwashed mince was 74.69 increased to 77.68 and 78.74 after the first and second washing steps, and slightly decreased to 78.62\% after third washing. In this concern El-Shourbagy et al. (2003) reached to the same results. It was observed also from the same table that there was a general decrease in TVB-N and TMA values after the employed washing steps. It was observed also from the same table that there was a general decrease in TVB-N and TMA values after the employed washing steps.

Overall, lower TVB-N values in washed minced catfish could have resulted from removal of free amino acids, sarcoplasmic protein, or N-containing compounds of non-protein nature during washing (Park and Morrissey 2000 and Suvanich 2000). Thiobarbituric acid value (TBA) has taken as an index of fat oxidation, the tabulated data in Table (3) shows that TBA value was 0.81 mg malonaldehyde/kg for unwashed mince and decrease to 0.64, 0.41 and 0.36 after first, second and third washing steps respectively. These results indicated that efficiency of washing process for removing of fat consisting with Ahmed (2001).
Table 3. Changes of some physicochemical properties of catfish mince during washing steps

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Constituents</th>
<th>Unwashed minced fish</th>
<th>Washing solution</th>
<th>NaHCO$_3$ 0.2%</th>
<th>Distilled water</th>
<th>NaCl 0.15%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>pH</td>
<td>6.44</td>
<td>6.64</td>
<td>6.67</td>
<td>6.69</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WHC (% weight of water loss)</td>
<td>74.69</td>
<td>77.68</td>
<td>78.74</td>
<td>78.62</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TVB-N (mg TVN/100g sample)</td>
<td>12.75</td>
<td>10.52</td>
<td>9.75</td>
<td>7.35</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TMA (mg TMA/100g sample)</td>
<td>4.62</td>
<td>3.25</td>
<td>2.26</td>
<td>1.43</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TBA (mg malonaldehyde /kg)</td>
<td>0.81</td>
<td>0.64</td>
<td>0.41</td>
<td>0.36</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 4. Concentration of heavy metals (Hg, Pb and Cd) in unwashed and washed catfish mince (mg/kg as wet weight basis)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Treatments</th>
<th>Heavy metals</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Hg</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unwashed minced fish</td>
<td>0.41</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>First washing step (NaHCO$_3$ 0.2%)</td>
<td>0.38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Second washing step (distilled water)</td>
<td>0.371</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Third washing step (NaCl 0.15%)</td>
<td>0.362</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 5. Effect of washing by various solutions on microbiological counts of minced catfish (expressed as, CFU/g)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Treatment</th>
<th>Total bacterial count</th>
<th>Psychrophilic bacterial count</th>
<th>Coliform groups</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Unwashed mince</td>
<td>$4.1 \times 10^5$</td>
<td>$2.4 \times 10^5$</td>
<td>&lt;10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>First washing step (NaHCO$_3$ 0.2%)</td>
<td>$3.6 \times 10^3$</td>
<td>$1.9 \times 10^3$</td>
<td>&lt;10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Second washing step (distilled water)</td>
<td>$2.6 \times 10^3$</td>
<td>$1.2 \times 10^3$</td>
<td>&lt;10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Third washing step (NaCl 0.15%)</td>
<td>$1.4 \times 10^3$</td>
<td>$0.6 \times 10^3$</td>
<td>&lt;10</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Effect of Washing Method on some Heavy Metal Content of Minced Catfish (Karmout)

From Figures presented in Table (4) it could be noticed that the concentration of Hg, Pb and Cd in unwashed minced fish were 0.41, 0.373 and 0.173 respectively. These values decreased to lower levels attributed to get rid of fat from the minced fish by washing steps. These results are in agreement with those obtained by Kim et al. (1996) who stated that there was a decrease in washed minced fat content comparing with unwashed mince.

However, while the levels of Hg and Pb being below the permissible limit recommended by the Egyptian Standard (1991) (0.5 Hg mg/kg and 1.0 Pb mg/kg respectively), the levels of Cd values were higher than its permissible limit (0.1 mg Cd/kg).

Effect of Washing Steps on the Microbiological Counts of Minced Catfish (Karmout)

From the tabulated data in Table (5) it could be observed that total bacterial count was $4.1 \times 10^5$ CFU/g in unwashed catfish mince, reduced to $3.6 \times 10^3$, $2.6 \times 10^3$ and $1.4 \times 10^3$ CFU/g after first, second and third washing steps, respectively. El-Shourbagy et al. (2003) found that aerobic plate count bacteria (APC) of mince made from catfish was lower than his from washing minced catfish.

Furthermore, the same table revealed that psychrophilic was $2.4 \times 10^5$ for unwashed mince lowered to $1.9 \times 10^3$, $1.2 \times 10^3$ and $0.6 \times 10^3$ after first, second and third washing steps respectively. This decrease might be attributed to the efficiency of washing process for removing the fat, blood, substances and low molecular weight nitrogenous compounds which help the microbial growth.

While the coliform group counts were less than 10 CFU/g before and after washing steps, it could be indicated than counts for these organisms were decrease by washing steps.

Conclusion

As a result of this study, we found that protein, WHC and SEP in mince produced from catfish (Karmout) were increased after washing steps. Also the chemical quality was in good limits. Also the microbiological counts of minced catfish were decreased after the three washing steps. Data indicated that washing minced catfish has functional
properties with potential use for surimi products.
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