



Plant Production Science

Available online at <http://zjar.journals.ekb.eg>
<http://www.journals.zu.edu.eg/journalDisplay.aspx?JournalId=1&queryType=Master>



EFFECT OF SOME STIMULANTS AS FOLIAR APPLICATION ON GROWTH, YIELD AND FRUIT QUALITY OF CUCUMBER UNDER PLASTIC HOUSE CONDITIONS

Mohamed E.R. Qassem^{*}, A. Bardisi, Dalia A.S. Nawar and Sabreen Kh. Ibraheem

Hort. Dept., Fac. Agric., Zagazig Univ., Egypt

Received: 26/12/2021 ; Accepted: 16/01/2022

ABSTRACT: During the 2018/2019 and 2019/2020 winter seasons, this experiment was conducted under plastic houses conditions in Fayoum Governorate Egypt, to investigate the effects of some stimulants on cucumber growth, yield and fruit quality of cucumber (*Cucumis sativus* L.) Barracuda F1 hybrid. This experiment included nine treatments as follows: Spraying with tap water (control), sodium selenate (NaSel) at 2,4 and 6mg/l, humic acid (HA) at 1, 2 and 3 g/l, potassium silicate (KSil) at 4 and 6 ml/l. These treatments were arranged in a randomized complete block design with three replicates, Spraying cucumber plants with HA at 3 g/l or KSil at 6 ml/l increased dry weight of leaves, branches and shoots/plant, chlorophyll a, b and total (a+b), number of fruits/plant, average fruit weight, yield/plant and yield /m². Foliar spray with KSil at 6 ml /l increased TSS, Vit. C, DM% and K contents in fruits, whereas HA at 2 and 3 g /l or KSil at 4 or at 6 ml /l increased fruit length. It can be concluded that cucumbers are highly responsive to foliar applications of potassium silicate and humic acid, followed by selenium spraying, and the yield can be increased by 58.64 % and 48.79 %, respectively, by spraying with potassium silicate at 6 ml/l and humic acid at 3 g/l (average two seasons).

Key words: Cucumber, humic acid, potassium silicate, sodium selenate, foliar application, dry weight, yield, fruit quality.

INTRODUCTION

Cucumber (*Cucumis sativus* L.) is the fourth most important vegetable crop after tomato, cabbage, and onion (Tatlioglu, 1993). Due to its short life cycle, cucumber is the most popular crop grown under protected conditions. In regulated conditions, parthenocarpic and gynocious cucumber cultivars have a higher potential for producing a large fruit load, leading in a high harvest index. Plants with a high harvest index will make better use of the limited growing space available in a growth chamber (Meena et al., 2017).

Humic compounds are classified as organic fertilizers since they contain a variety of minerals that are essential for plant growth, such as nitrogen, phosphorus, potassium and some

elements such as zinc, ferric, copper and magnesium. (Ajalli et al., 2013).. Humates appear to have a particularly beneficial influence on nutrient availability, according to earlier research. As a result, the use of humates was investigated as a way to promote both nutritional balance and plant vigour (Boehme et al., 2005).

Some authors reported that spraying plant with humic acid significantly enhanced plant growth (El-Desuki, 2012; El-Nemr et al., 2012 on cucumber), leaf pigments (Unlu et al., 2011 on cucumber), early and total yield (Unlu et al., 2011; Shafeek et al., 2016; El-Hamady et al., 2017 and Meena et al., 2017 on cucumber) and fruit quality (Al-Madhagi, 2019 on cucumber).

Selenium is a component that resembles sulphur. Selenium is an essential element with significant plant nutrition effects. It is beneficial

* Corresponding author: Tel. :+201092072443

E-mail address: me128@fayoum.edu.eg

for plant growth particularly under stress conditions (Feng, 2013). Selenium protects plants from various stress factors particularly drought, salinity and UV radiation (Hajiboland and Keivanfar, 2012). Although selenium is not a required element for plant growth, it has been shown to boost plant growth. Selenium is a microelement that has antioxidant, anticancer and antiviral properties that are beneficial to human and animal health. There is no proof that it is required for plant growth (Lyons *et al.*, 2004).

Treated plants with selenium improved dry weight (Yassen *et al.*, 2011 on potato and Abul-Soud and Abd-Elrahman, 2016 on eggplant), leaf pigments (Haghighi *et al.* 2016 on cucumber), productivity (El-Hamady *et al.*, 2017 on pepper, Shalaby and Ramadan, 2017 on lettuce and Ghazi, 2018 on eggplant) and fruit quality (Belal, 2020 on tomato and Shalaby *et al.*, 2021 on cucumber).

After absorption by plants, silicon is deposited in the walls of epidermal cells, which contributes significantly to stem strength. In plants, silicon is not a very mobile element (Epstein, 1999). Silicon's role in plant biology is to alleviate a variety of stressors, both biotic and abiotic. It is also known to improve plant drought tolerance by maintaining plant water balance, photosynthetic activity, leaf erectness, and xylem vessel structure under high transpiration rates (Epstein, 2001).

Spraying plants with potassium silicate enhanced dry weight (Dawa *et al.*, 2017; Shehata *et al.*, 2018 on cucumber) leaf chlorophyll (Abd El-Gawad *et al.* 2017 on potato), yield and its components (Gorecki and Danieski-Busch, 2009; Abd-Alkarim *et al.*, 2017; Shehata *et al.*, 2018 on cucumber, and Abd-Elaziz *et al.*, 2019 and Salama *et al.*, 2019 on squash) and fruit quality (Soundharya *et al.*, 2019 on tomato and, Nada 2020 on Strawberry).

The major goal of this study was to see how certain stimulants, such as humic acid, selenium, and silicon, affect cucumber growth, productivity and fruit quality under plastic houses conditions.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

During the 2018/2019 and 2019/2020 winter seasons, this experiment was conducted under plastic houses conditions in Fayoum Governorate

Egypt, to investigate the effects of some stimulants on cucumber growth, yield, and fruit quality (*Cucumis sativus* L.) cv. Barracuda F₁ hybrid. This experiment included nine treatments as follows: Spraying with tap water (control), sodium selenite at 2,4 and 6 mg/l, humic acid at 1, 2 and 3 g/l, potassium silicate at 4 and 6 ml /l. These treatments were arranged in a randomized complete block design with three replicates.

The area of each plot was 5m² (5 m long and 1 m width) with 20 plants. Seeds of cucumber cv. Barracuda F₁ hybrid were sown in the nursery on 7th and 10th November and seedlings were transplanted in the greenhouse on 12th and 14th December in the 1st and 2nd seasons, respectively. The plastic houses were 40 m long and 8.5 m wide (340 m²) and were divided into 6 beds, each 1 m wide and 40 m long. Seedlings were planted on two sides of each bed at 50 cm apart. One side was used for the samples to measure vegetative growth and the other side was used for yield determination. Cucumber plants were sprayed three times at 15 day intervals with different concentrations of all stimulants beginning three weeks after transplanting utilizing a hand-held sprayer.

All plots received the recommended rate of N, P and K (150 kg. N, 75 kg. P₂O₅ and 150 kg. K₂O/feddan), respectively as ammonium sulphate (20.5%N), calcium super phosphate (15.5% P₂O₅) and potassium sulphate (48 % K₂O). The normal agricultural practices in the experiment were carried out as commonly followed in the district.

Data Recorded

Dry weight

Random sample of three plants were randomly taken at 75 days after planting from every experimental unit to measure dry weight of different plant parts, i.e., leaves, branches and shoot (leaves + branches) dry weight/plant after dried in oven at 70°C till constant weight.

Photosynthetic pigments

Ten discs samples from the fourth upper leaf of the plant tip from every experimental unit were randomly taken at 75 days after planting in both seasons to determine chlorophyll a, b and total chlorophylls as well as carotenoides according to the method described by Wettstein (1957).

Total fruit yield

Cucumber fruits from every experimental unit at marketable stage were harvested twice weekly. At harvest time, the number of fruits/plant, average weight of fruit and yield (kg/plant) as well as per square meter were recorded.

Fruit quality

Five fruits were randomly taken from every experimental unit of all pickings to measure the physical and chemical characters of the fruits in both seasons as follows:

Fruit length (cm) and, fruit diameter (cm). Total soluble solids percentage (TSS) were determined by using a hand refractometer. Vitamin C (Ascorbic acid) was measured by titration with iodide potassium according to the method of **Ranganna (1986)** and calculated as mg vitamin C/ 100 ml juice. **for** Fruit dry matter measurement, randomly fruit samples of 100 g of fresh weight were dried in oven at 105° C till the constant weight then the percentage of fruit dry matter was calculated. Nitrogen, phosphorus, and potassium were determined on the basis of dry matter according to the methods described by **Bremner and Mulvaney (1982)**, **Olsen and Sommers (1982)** and **Jackson (1970)**, respectively.

Statistical Analysis

Collected data were subjected to proper statistical analysis of variance according to **Snedecor and Cochran (1980)** and the differences among treatments were compared using Duncan's multiple range test (**Duncan, 1958**), where means had different letters were statistically significant, and those means followed by the same letter were statistically insignificant.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Dry Weight

The obtained results in Table 1 indicate that humic acid (HA), sodium selenate (Na_2SeO_3) and potassium silicate ($\text{K}_2\text{O}_3\text{Si}$) at different rates increased dry weight of leaves, branches and shoot dry weight/plant of cucumber at 75 days after planting compared to control (spraying with water), spraying cucumber plant with HA

at 3 g/l and KSil at 6 ml/l increased dry weight/ of leaves , branches and shoots/plant. Sodium selenate at 2, 4 and 6 ml/l gave the lowest values of dry weight compared to HA at 1, 2 and 3 ml / l and KSil at 4 and 6 ml/l in both seasons.

The increases in dry weight of shoots/ plant were about 65.1 and 60% for KSil at 6 ml/l, 61.2 and 54.9% for HA at 3 g /l over the control in the 1st and 2nd seasons, respectively.

This increment in dry weight of cucumber plants may be due to the role of potassium on plant nutrition and enhancing the translocation of assimilates and protein synthesis. Silicon addition to plants reduced the inhibition effect on cucumber growth and photosynthesis, also the improving effect of silicon seemed to be due to increasing root hydraulic conductance of the plants (**Shi et al., 2016**).

Humic substances had a beneficial effect on plant physiology. It was noted that it had direct effects on cell membrane permeability, respiration, nucleic acid, biosynthesis, ion absorption, hormone and enzyme activity (**Chen and Schnitzer, 1978**). The indirect effect of the humic acid provides minerals and biochemical substances, increases the microorganisms which carries trace elements and growth promoters (**Unlu et al., 2011**).

Similar trained due to spraying humic acid to cucumber were obtained by **El-Desuki (2012)** and **El-Nemr et al., (2012)**, also spraying plants with potassium silicate significantly increased all parameters of dry weight, in this concern, (**Dawa et al., 2017** and **Shehata et al. 2018** on cucumber) came the similar results.

Photosynthetic Pigments

Spraying with some stimulants (HA, Na_2SeO_3 and $\text{K}_2\text{O}_3\text{Si}$) at different rates increased the concentrations of chlorophyll a, b, total chlorophyll a+b and carotenoides in leaf tissues at 75 days after planting compared to control (sprayed with water) in both seasons as show in Table 2. Foliar spray with HA at 3 g/l followed by HA at 2 g/l increased the concentration of chlorophyll a, b and total (a+b), whereas spraying with Na_2SeO_3 at 2 mg/l increased the concentration of carotenoides in leaf tissues at 75 days after planting.

Table 1. Effect of foliar spray with some stimulants on dry weight of different organs at 75 days after planting of cucumber plants during 2018/2019 and 2019/2020 seasons under plastic house conditions

| Treatments | Dry weight of leaves (g) | Dry weight of branches (g) | Dry weight of shoots (g) | Relative increases in shoot dry weight (%) |
|-------------------------------|--------------------------|----------------------------|--------------------------|--|
| 2019 season | | | | |
| Spraying with water (control) | 14.59 g | 13.66 e | 28.25 f | 100.0 |
| HA at 1 g/l | 21.29 d | 16.64 c | 37.93 c | 134.3 |
| HA at 2 g/l | 24.61 c | 18.20 b | 42.81 b | 151.5 |
| HA at 3 g/l | 26.94 a | 18.60 b | 45.54 a | 161.2 |
| NaSel at 2 mg/l | 17.56 f | 14.00 e | 31.56 e | 111.7 |
| NaSel at 4 mg/l | 17.95 f | 15.00 d | 32.95 d | 116.6 |
| NaSel at 6 mg/l | 18.84 e | 15.02 d | 33.86 d | 119.9 |
| KSil at 4 ml /l | 25.60 b | 18.26 b | 43.86 b | 155.3 |
| KSil at 6 ml /l | 27.37 a | 19.27 a | 46.64 a | 165.1 |
| 2020 season | | | | |
| Spraying with water (control) | 15.63 e | 12.82 f | 28.45 f | 100.0 |
| HA at 1 g/l | 21.14 c | 15.86 d | 37.00 c | 130.1 |
| HA at 2 g/l | 23.19 b | 17.42 c | 40.61 b | 142.7 |
| HA at 3 g/l | 25.62 a | 18.46 b | 44.08 a | 154.9 |
| NaSel at 2 mg/l | 18.95 d | 13.29 f | 32.24 e | 113.3 |
| NaSel at 4 mg/l | 18.77 d | 14.77 e | 33.54 de | 117.9 |
| NaSel at 6 mg/l | 20.57 c | 14.43 e | 35.00 d | 123.0 |
| KSil at 4 ml /l | 25.60 a | 18.67 b | 44.27 a | 155.6 |
| KSil at 6 ml /l | 26.01 a | 19.52 a | 45.53 a | 160.0 |

HA= humic acid, NaSel= Sodium selenate and KSil= potassium silicate.

Values having the same alphabetical letter(s) did not significantly differ at the 0.05 level of significance, according to Duncan's multiple range test.

Humic acid may be caused an enhancement in the synthesis of the chlorophyll and/or delayed chlorophyll degradation in the two different types of leaves, primary and lateral shoot leaves (Nardi *et al.*, 2002). Also, humic substances may inhibit the biosynthetic pathway of chlorophyll, stimulate the degradative pathway of chlorophyll (Yang *et al.*, 2004). Silicon can mitigate K deficiency stress, because it increases the levels of chlorophyll and antioxidant compounds (carotenoides). This favors photosynthesis rates and decreases transpiration, thereby increasing the efficiency of water use and dry matter production (Ma, 2004).

These results are in harmony with those obtained by Haghghi *et al.* (2016) on cucumber regarding humic acid effect and Abd El-Gawad *et al.* (2017) on potato as for potassium silicate effect .

Early Yield and its Components

Foliar spray with humic acid at 3 g/l and KSil at 6 ml/l increased the number of early fruits/plant, average early fruit weight, early yield/plant and early yield/m² in both seasons, followed by spraying with HA at 2 g /l (Table 3).

The increases in early yield/m² were about 65.52 and 49.08% for HA at 3 g/l and 76.31 and 59.48% for KSil at 6 ml/l and 56.26 and 35.69% for HA at 2g /l over the control in the 1st and 2nd seasons, respectively.

Total Yield and its Components

Spraying cucumber plants grown under plastic house conditions with HA, Na₂SeO₃ and K₂O₃Si at different rates increased yield and its components compared to control in both seasons (Table 4). Also, HA at 3 g/l and K₂O₃Si at 6 ml /l increased number of fruits/plant, average fruit weight, yield/plant and total yield/m², followed by HA at 2g/l and K₂O₃Si at 4 ml/l in both seasons.

The increases in total yield/m² were about 43.40 and 39.83% for HA at 2 g/l, 48.79 and 58.64% for HA at 3 g/l, 42.12 and 53.09% for KSil at 4 ml /l and 54.42 and 59.45 % for KSil at 6 ml/l over the control in the 1st and 2nd seasons, respectively.

Humic acid led to increase the permeability of plant membranes, promote the uptake of nutrients, reduce impacts of disease and

stimulate plant growth all of this led to increase yield of fruits. Also the stimulative effect of humic acid may be due to: 1-Enhancing productivity via increasing the vegetative growth parameters and female flowers which reflects on cucumber yield. 2- Enhancement of cell division and cell enlargement. These results are in conformity with the findings of Khalil *et al.* (2011) on cucumber.

Silicon may enhance soil fertility and uptake of water and other nutrient elements, improve disease and pest resistance and plant growth, photosynthesis and yield (Samuels *et al.*, 1993). Also, foliar spray with potassium silicate of cucumber plants increased yield which was enhanced with the number of fruits per plant (Gorecki and Danieski-Busch, 2009).

These findings were in accordance with those obtained by Unlu *et al.* (2011), Shafeek *et al.* (2016), El-Hamady *et al.* (2017) and Meena *et al.* (2017) on cucumber. All reported that spraying cucumber with humic acid significantly enhanced all components of yield, *i.e.*, number of fruits/plant, average fruit weight and total yield. Also, spraying with potassium silicate recorded the best values for increasing yield and its components, in this regard (Gorecki and Danieski-Busch, 2009; Abd-alkarim *et al.*, 2017; Shehata *et al.*, 2018 on cucumber and Abd-Elaziz *et al.*, 2019; Salama *et al.*, 2019) on squash. Moreover, Se foliar applications improved all parameters of yield than unsprayed plants (El-Hamady *et al.*, 2017 on pepper, Shalaby and Ramadan (2017) on lettuce and Ghazi 2018 on eggplant).

Fruit Quality

Shape (Tables 5, 6 and 7) foliar spray with KSil at 6 ml/l increased TSS, Vit C, DM% and K contents with no significant differences with KSil at 4 ml /l with respect to TSS, Vit C and K contents in the 1st season, whereas HA at 2 and 3 g /l and KSil at 4 and 6 ml /l increased fruit length.

Potassium silicate is a source of highly soluble potassium and silicon so it is used in agricultural production system primarily as a silicon amendment source and has utilized of supplying small amounts of potassium help to improve the quality of yield (Talebi *et al.*, 2015).

Table 3. Effect of foliar spray with some stimulants on early yield of cucumber plants during 2018/2019 and 2019/2020 season under plastic house conditions

| Treatments | Number of fruits / plant | Avege fruit weight (g) | Yield / plant (kg) | Yield / (kg/m ²) | Relative increases in early yield (%) |
|-------------------------------|--------------------------|------------------------|--------------------|------------------------------|---------------------------------------|
| | | | | | |
| Spraying with water (control) | 16.76 e | 48.35 f | 0.810 h | 1.621 h | 0.00 |
| HA at 1 g/l | 19.16 c | 59.91 c | 1.148 d | 2.296 d | 41.64 |
| HA at 2 g/l | 20.71 b | 61.15 bc | 1.266 c | 2.533 c | 56.26 |
| HA at 3 g/l | 20.96 b | 64.01 a | 1.342 b | 2.683 b | 65.52 |
| NaSel at 2 mg/l | 17.44 de | 49.06 f | 0.856 g | 1.711 g | 5.55 |
| NaSel at 4 mg/l | 17.62 d | 50.47 e | 0.889 f | 1.779 f | 9.75 |
| NaSel at 6 mg/l | 18.05 d | 52.34 d | 0.945 e | 1.889 e | 16.53 |
| KSil at 4 ml /l | 20.31 b | 62.24 b | 1.264 c | 2.528 c | 55.95 |
| KSil at 6 ml /l | 22.00 a | 64.95 a | 1.429 a | 2.858 a | 76.31 |
| 2020 season | | | | | |
| Spraying with water (control) | 17.950 c | 48.48 f | 0.870 d | 1.740 e | 0.00 |
| HA at 1 g/l | 19.020 bc | 53.29 d | 1.014 c | 2.027 d | 16.49 |
| HA at 2 g/l | 20.880 ab | 56.53 c | 1.180 b | 2.361 c | 35.69 |
| HA at 3 g/l | 21.610 a | 60.03 b | 1.297 a | 2.594 b | 49.08 |
| NaSel at 2 mg/l | 18.520 c | 49.86 ef | 0.923 cd | 1.847 e | 6.15 |
| NaSel at 4 mg/l | 18.330 c | 50.53 e | 0.926 cd | 1.852 e | 6.44 |
| NaSel at 6 mg/l | 18.140 c | 51.24 e | 0.929 cd | 1.859 e | 6.84 |
| KSil at 4 ml /l | 21.310 a | 60.45 b | 1.288 a | 2.576 b | 48.05 |
| KSil at 6 ml /l | 22.210 a | 62.47 a | 1.387 a | 2.775 a | 59.48 |

HA= humic acid , NaSel= Sodium selenate and KSil= potassium silicate.

Values having the same alphabetical letter(s) did not significantly differ at the 0.05 level of significance, according to Duncan's multiple range test.

Table 4. Effect of foliar spray with some stimulants on yield and its components of cucumber plants during 2018/2019 and 2019/2020 seasons under plastic house conditions

| Treatments | Number of fruits/plant | Average fruit weight (g) | Yield/plant (kg) | Yield (kg/ m ²) | Relative increases in total yield (%) |
|-------------------------------|------------------------|--------------------------|------------------|-----------------------------|---------------------------------------|
| 2019 season | | | | | |
| Spraying with water (control) | 48.90 e | 69.07 g | 3.378 g | 6.755 g | 00.00 |
| HA at 1 g/l | 56.23 c | 79.87 d | 4.491 d | 8.982 d | 32.97 |
| HA at 2 g/l | 59.53 ab | 81.36 c | 4.843 c | 9.687 c | 43.40 |
| HA at 3 g/l | 60.23 ab | 83.44 b | 5.026 b | 10.051 b | 48.79 |
| NaSel at 2 mg/l | 48.43 e | 70.08 g | 3.394 g | 6.788 g | 00.49 |
| NaSel at 4 mg/l | 50.10 e | 72.10 f | 3.612 f | 7.224 f | 06.94 |
| NaSel at 6 mg/l | 52.98 d | 74.78 e | 3.962 e | 7.924 e | 17.31 |
| KSil at 4 ml /l | 58.50 b | 82.05 c | 4.800 c | 9.600 c | 42.12 |
| KSil at 6 ml /l | 61.43 a | 84.90 a | 5.215 a | 10.431 a | 54.42 |
| 2020 season | | | | | |
| Spraying with water (control) | 50.38 d | 68.83 f | 3.468 f | 6.935 e | 0.00 |
| HA at 1 g/l | 52.85 c | 76.13 d | 4.023 d | 8.047 c | 16.03 |
| HA at 2 g/l | 57.98 b | 83.62 c | 4.848 c | 9.697 b | 39.83 |
| HA at 3 g/l | 60.05 a | 91.61 a | 5.501 a | 11.002 a | 58.64 |
| NaSel at 2 mg/l | 50.93 d | 68.92 f | 3.510 f | 7.020 e | 1.23 |
| NaSel at 4 mg/l | 51.43 cd | 69.26 f | 3.562 f | 7.124 de | 2.73 |
| NaSel at 6 mg/l | 53.08 c | 72.19 e | 3.832 e | 7.664 cd | 10.51 |
| KSil at 4 ml /l | 59.50 ab | 89.22 b | 5.309 b | 10.617 a | 53.09 |
| KSil at 6 ml /l | 60.03 a | 92.10 a | 5.529 a | 11.058 a | 59.45 |

HA= humic acid, NaSel= Sodium selenate and KSil= potassium silicate

Values having the same alphabetical letter(s) did not significantly differ at the 0.05 level of significance, according to Duncan's multiple range test.

Table 5. Effect of foliar spray with some stimulants on fruit length and diameter of cucumber during 2018/2019 and 2019/2020 seasons under plastic house conditions

| Treatments | Fruit length (cm) | Fruit diameter (cm) |
|-------------------------------|-------------------|---------------------|
| 2019 season | | |
| Spraying with water (control) | 12.89 b | 3.19 a |
| HA at 1 g/l | 14.69 a | 3.42 a |
| HA at 2 g/l | 14.79 a | 3.70 a |
| HA at 3 g/l | 14.97 a | 3.74 a |
| NaSel at 2 mg/l | 13.54 b | 3.22 a |
| NaSel at 4 mg/l | 13.46 b | 3.37 a |
| NaSel at 6 mg/l | 13.59 b | 3.40 a |
| KSil at 4 ml /l | 15.24 a | 3.81 a |
| KSil at 6 ml /l | 15.44 a | 3.62 a |
| 2020 season | | |
| Spraying with water (control) | 12.23 d | 3.06 a |
| HA at 1 g/l | 13.89 b | 3.40 a |
| HA at 2 g/l | 14.01 b | 3.73 a |
| HA at 3 g/l | 14.84 a | 3.86 a |
| NaSel at 2 mg/l | 13.11 c | 3.08 a |
| NaSel at 4 mg/l | 13.09 c | 3.27 a |
| NaSel at 6 mg/l | 13.96 b | 3.49 a |
| KSil at 4 ml /l | 14.99 a | 4.00 a |
| KSil at 6 ml /l | 14.81 a | 4.00 a |

HA= humic acid , NaSel= Sodium selenate and KSil= potassium silicate

Values having the same alphabetical letter(s) did not significantly differ at the 0.05 level of significance, according to Duncan's multiple range test.

Table 6. Effect of foliar spray with some stimulants on TSS, Vit C and DM (%) of cucumber fruits during 2018/2019 and 2019/2020 seasons under plastic house conditions

| Treatments | TSS | Vitamin C (mg /100 ml juice) | Dry matter (%) |
|-------------------------------|--------|---------------------------------|-------------------|
| 2019 season | | | |
| Spraying with water (control) | 3.33 g | 6.28 e | 4.22 f |
| HA at 1 g/l | 3.55 f | 7.26 b | 4.26 ef |
| HA at 2 g/l | 3.67 e | 7.24 b | 4.30 ef |
| HA at 3 g/l | 3.72 e | 7.31 b | 4.36 e |
| NaSel at 2 mg/l | 4.04 d | 6.37 e | 4.35 e |
| NaSel at 4 mg/l | 4.23 c | 6.55 d | 4.58 d |
| NaSel at 6 mg/l | 4.56 b | 6.80 c | 5.27 c |
| KSil at 4 ml /l | 4.77 a | 8.03 a | 5.42 b |
| KSil at 6 ml /l | 4.82 a | 8.17 a | 5.58 a |
| 2020 season | | | |
| Spraying with water (control) | 3.37 h | 6.22 e | 4.14 f |
| HA at 1 g/l | 3.52 g | 6.92 c | 4.22 f |
| HA at 2 g/l | 3.77 f | 7.00 c | 4.22 f |
| HA at 3 g/l | 3.77 f | 7.06 c | 4.32 e |
| NaSel at 2 mg/l | 4.03 e | 6.30 e | 4.44 d |
| NaSel at 4 mg/l | 4.40 d | 6.26 e | 4.78 c |
| NaSel at 6 mg/l | 4.66 c | 6.56 d | 5.39 a |
| KSil at 4 ml /l | 4.77 b | 8.02 b | 5.15 b |
| KSil at 6 ml /l | 4.94 a | 8.28 a | 5.33 a |

HA= humic acid , NaSel= Sodium selenate and KSil= potassium silicate

Values having the same alphabetical letter(s) did not significantly differ at the 0.05 level of significance, according to Duncan's multiple range test.

Table 7. Effect of foliar spray with some stimulants on N, P and K contents in fruit of cucumber during 2018/2019 and 2019/2020 seasons under plastic house conditions

| Treatments | N (%) | P (%) | K (%) |
|-------------------------------|---------|----------|---------|
| 2019 season | | | |
| Spraying with water (control) | 1.32 e | 0.286 g | 2.33 f |
| HA at 1 g/l | 1.78 bc | 0.494 b | 2.88 c |
| HA at 2 g/l | 1.85 ab | 0.497 b | 2.90 c |
| HA at 3 g/l | 1.87 a | 0.532 a | 3.00 b |
| NaSel at 2 mg/l | 1.43 d | 0.314 f | 2.56 e |
| NaSel at 4 mg/l | 1.48 d | 0.311 f | 2.59 e |
| NaSel at 6 mg/l | 1.48 d | 0.350 e | 2.73 d |
| KSil at 4 ml /l | 1.72 c | 0.445 d | 3.18 a |
| KSil at 6 ml /l | 1.75 c | 0.485 c | 3.23 a |
| 2020 season | | | |
| Spraying with water (control) | 1.25 d | 0.288 e | 2.31 e |
| HA at 1 g/l | 1.73 b | 0.447 b | 2.65 d |
| HA at 2 g/l | 1.79 ab | 0.462 b | 2.76 cd |
| HA at 3 g/l | 1.91 a | 0.489 a | 2.97 ab |
| NaSel at 2 mg/l | 1.39 cd | 0.314 d | 2.59 d |
| NaSel at 4 mg/l | 1.48 c | 0.330 cd | 2.64 d |
| NaSel at 6 mg/l | 1.51 c | 0.342 c | 2.89 bc |
| KSil at 4 ml /l | 1.75 b | 0.443 b | 3.09 a |
| KSil at 6 ml /l | 1.76 b | 0.453 b | 3.10 a |

HA= humic acid , NaSel= Sodium selenate and KSil= potassium silicate

Values having the same alphabetical letter(s) did not significantly differ at the 0.05 level of significance, according to Duncan's multiple range test.

Similar results were obtained by **Al-Madhagi (2019)** on cucumber as for humic acid effect, **Belal 2020** on tomato and **Shalaby *et al.* (2021)** on cucumber as for selenium effect and **Soundharya *et al.* (2019)** on tomato and **Nada 2020** on Strawberry as for potassium silicate.

Conclusion

Based on the findings, it can be concluded that cucumbers are highly responsive to foliar applications of potassium silicate and humic acid, followed by selenium spraying, and that yield can be increased by 58.64 percent and 48.79 percent, respectively, by spraying potassium silicate at 6 ml/l and humic acid at 3 g/l (average two seasons).

REFERENCES

- Abd El-Gawad, H.G., N.A.I. Abu El-Azm and M.S. Hikal (2017). Effect of potassium silicate on tuber yield and biochemical constituents of potato plants grown under drought stress conditions. *Middle East J. Agric. Res.*, 6 (3): 718-731.
- Abd-Alkarim E., Y.B. El-Metwally and M. Rakha (2017). Silicon supplements affect yield and fruit quality of cucumber (*Cucumis sativus* L.) grown in net houses. *Afr. J. Agric. Res.*, 12(31): 2518-2523.
- Abd-Elaziz, S.A., A.A. Alkharpotly, M.M. Yousry and A.I.A. Abido (2019). Effect of foliar application with salicylic acid and potassium silicate on squash plants (*Cucurbita pepo* L.) yield and quality. *Fayoum J. Agric. Res. And Develop.*, 33 (1):1-28.
- Abul-Soud, M.A. and S.H. Abd-Elrahman (2016). Foliar selenium application to improve the tolerance of eggplant grown under salt stress conditions. *Int. J. Plant Soil Sci.*, 9(1): 1-10.
- Ajalli, J.V., F. Paknejad, M.R. Ardekani and A. Kashani (2013). Effect of potassium humate on yield and yield components of different potato varieties as a second crop after barley harvest in Ardabil region, Iran. *Ann. Biol. Res.*, 4 (2): 85-89.
- Al-Madhagi, I.A.H. (2019). Effect of humic acid and yeast on the yield of greenhouse cucumber. *J. Hort. Postharvest Res.*, 2(1): 67-82.
- Belal, H.E.E. (2020). Effect of selenium on growth and yield of tomato plants. Ph.D., Thesis, Fac. Agric., Fayoum Univ.
- Boehme, M., J. Schevtschenko and I. Pinker, (2005a). Iron Supply of Cucumbers in substrate culture with Humate. *Acta Hort.*, 697 ISHS, 41 (1): 329-335.
- Bremner, J.M. and C.S. Mulvaney (1982). Total nitrogen. In: Page, A.L., R.H. Miller, and D.R. Keeney (Eds.). *Methods of soil analysis. Part 2*, Am. Soc. Agron. Madison, W.I. USA, 595- 624.
- Chen, Y. and M. Schnitzer (1978). The surface tension of aqueous solutions of soil humic substances. *Soil Sci. Soc. Ame.*, 125: 7-15.
- Dawa, K.K., E.E. Metwaly and A.A. Omar (2017). Effect of grafting onto different rootstocks and some foliar applications on cucumber production under high polyethylene tunnel conditions. *J. Plant Production, Mansoura Univ.*, 8(3): 445 -453.
- Duncan, D.B. (1958). Multiple Range and Multiple F-Test. *Biometrics*, 11: 1-5.
- El-Desuki, M. (2012). Response of growth and yield of cucumber plants (*Cucumis sativus* L.) to different foliar applications of humic acid and bio-stimulators. *Aust. J. Basic and Appl. Sci.*, 6: 3.
- El-Hamady, M.M., A.G. Baddour, M.M. Sobh, H.M. Ashour and H.H. Manaf (2017). Influence of mineral fertilization in combination with K-humate, amino acids and sodium selenite on growth, chemical composition, yield and fruit quality of sweet pepper plant. *Middle East J. Agric. Res.*, 06 (02): 433-447.
- El-Nemr, M.A., M. El-Desuki, A.M. El-Bassiony and Z.F. Fawzy (2012). Response of growth and yield of cucumber plants (*Cucumis sativus* L.) to different foliar applications of humic acid and bio-stimulators. *Aust. J. Basic Appl. Sci.*, 6 (3): 630-637.
- Epstein, E. (1999). Silicon. *Annu. Res. Plant Physiol. Plant Mol. Biol.*, 50: 641-664.
- Epstein, E. (2001). Silicon in plants: Facts vs. concepts. In L.E. Datnoff, G.H. Snyder, and G.H. Korndörfer (ed.) Elsevier, NY, 1-15.

- Feng, R., C.Y. Wei and S. TU (2013). The roles of selenium in protecting plants against abiotic stresses. *Environ. and Exp. Bot.*, 87: 58 – 68.
- Ghazi, D.A. (2018). Effect of iron and selenium on growth, yield and quality of eggplant under different mineral fertilization levels. *J. Soil Sci. and Agric. Eng., Mansoura Univ.*, 9 (10): 525 – 532.
- Gorecki, R.S. and W. Danieski-Busch.(2009). Effect of silicate fertilizers on yielding of greenhouse cucumber (*Cucumis sativus* L.) in container cultivation. *J. Elemental.*, 14(1): 71-78.
- Haghighi, M., A. Sheibanirad and M. Pessaraki (2016). Effects of selenium as a beneficial element on growth and photosynthetic attributes of greenhouse cucumber. *J. Plant Nutr.*, 39 (10): 1493–1498.
- Hajiboland, R. and N. Keivanfar (2012). Selenium supplementation stimulates vegetative and reproductive growth in peanut (*Arachis hypogaea*) plants. *Acta. Agric. Slovenica.* 99: 13-19.
- Jackson, M.L. (1970). *Soil chemical analysis*. Prentice Hall, Englewood Cliffs, NJ.
- Khalil, H.M., L.M. Ali and A.A. Mahmoud (2011). Impact of applied humic and fulvic acids on the soil physico-chemical properties and cucumber productivity under protected cultivation conditions. *J. Agric. Sci. Mans. Univ.*, 2 (2): 183-201.
- Lyons, G.H., J. Lewis, M.F. Lorimer, R.E. Holloway, D.M. Brace and J.C.R. Stangoulis (2004). High selenium wheat: agronomic bio-fortification strategies to improve human nutrition. *Food Agric. Environ.*, 22 (1): 171-178.
- Ma, J.F. (2004) Role of silicon in enhancing the resistance of plants to biotic and abiotic stresses. *Soil Sci. Plant Nutr.*, 50: 11–18.
- Meena, S., K.D. Ameta, R.A. Kaushik, S.L. Meena and M. Singh (2017). Performance of cucumber (*Cucumis sativus* L.) as influenced by humic acid and micro nutrients application under polyhouse condition. *Int. J. Curr. Microbiol. Appl. Sci.*, 6(3):1763-1767.
- Nada, M.M. (2020). Effect of foliar spray with potassium silicate and glycine betaine on growth and early yield quality of strawberry plants. *J. Plant Prod., Mansoura Univ.* 11 (12): 1295 – 1302.
- Nardi, S., D. Pizzeghello, A. Muscolo and A. Vianello (2002). Physiological effects of humic substances on higher plants, *Soil Biol. Biochem.*, 34: 1527– 1536.
- Olsen, S.R. and L.E. Sommers (1982). Phosphorus. In: Page. A.L., R.H. Miller, and D.R. Keeney (Eds). *Methods of Soil Analysis. Part 2 Am. Soc. Agron. Madison, W.I. USA*, 403-430.
- Ranganna, S. (1986). *handbook of analysis and quality control for fruit and vegetable products*. 2nd Ed., mcgraw-hill publishing co. ltd., new delhi, 1112.
- Salama, A.R., W.A. Fekry and H.M. Wahdan (2019). Influence of some squash cultivars and growth stimulants on flowering, yield and fruit quality at autumn-winter season under open field conditions. *J. Prod. and Dev.*, 24 (3): 433 – 460.
- Samuels, A.L., A.D. Glass, D.L. Ehret and J.G. Menzies (1993). The effects of silicon supplementation on cucumber fruit: changes in surface characteristics. *Ann. Bot.*, 72: 433-440.
- Shafeek, M.R., Y.I. Helmy and Nadia M. Omar (2016). Effect of spraying or ground drench from humic acid on growth, total output and fruits nutritional values of cucumber (*Cucumis sativus* L.) grown under plastic house conditions. *Int. J. Pharm. Tech. Res.*, 9 (12): 52-57.
- Shalaby T.A., E. Abd-Alkarim, F. El-Aidy, E.S. Hamed, M. Sharaf-Eldin, N. Taha, H. El-Ramady, Y. Bayoumi and A.R. dos Reis (2021). Nano-selenium, silicon and H₂O₂ boost growth and productivity of cucumber under combined salinity and heat stress. *Ecotoxicol. and Environ. Safety*, 212: 111962.
- Shalaby, O.A. and M.E. Ramadan (2017). Effect of seed soaking and foliar spraying with selenium on the growth and yield of lettuce under saline stress. *Egypt. J. Desert Res.*, 67 (1): 251-263.

- Shehata, S.A., M.E.M. Saad, M.A. Saleh and S.A. Atala (2018). Effect of foliar spray with potassium silicate on growth, yield, quality and storability of cucumber fruits. *Annals of Agric. Sci., Moshtohor*, 56 (2): 385 – 396.
- Shi, Y., Y. Zhang, W. Han, R. Feng, Y. Hu and J. Guo (2016). Silicon Enhances Water Stress Tolerance by Improving Root Hydraulic Conductance in *Solanum lycopersicum* L. *Frontiers in Plant Sci.*, 7: 196.
- Snedecor, G.W. and W.G. Cochran (1980). *Statistical Methods*. 7th Ed. Ames, Iowa USA: Iowa State Univ. Press, 507.
- Soundharya N., S. Srinivasan, T. Sivakumar and P. R. Kamalkumaran (2019). Effect of foliar application of nutrients and silicon on yield and quality traits of tomato (*Lycopersicon esculentum* L.). *Int. J. Pure Appl. Biosci.*, 7 (2): 526-531
- Talebi, S., A. Majd, M. Mirzai and M. Abedini (2015). The study of potassium silicate effects on qualitative and quantitative performance of potato (*Solanum tuberosum* L.). *Biological Forum an Int. J.*, 7(2): 1021-1026.
- Tatlioglu, T. (1993). Cucumber (*Cucumis sativus* L.). In: Kallov, G. and Bo Bergn, (eds). *Genetic Improvement of Vegetable Crops*. Oxford: Pergamon Press, 197-227.
- Unlu, H.O., H. Unlu, Y. Karakurt and H. Padem (2011). Changes in fruit yield and quality in response to foliar and soil humic acid application in cucumber. *Scien. Res. and Essays*, 6 (13): 2800-2803.
- Wettstein, D. (1957). Chlorophyll. Lethale under submikross-kopische formwechesl der plastiden. *Exptl. Cell. Res.*, 12 : 427-433.
- Yang, C.M., C. Wang, Y.F. Lu, I.F. Chang and C.H. Chou (2004). Humic substances affect the activity of chlorophyllase. *J. Chem. Ecol.*, 30 (5), 1057-1065.
- Yassen, A.A., S.M. Adam and S.M. Zaghoul (2011). Impact of nitrogen fertilizer and foliar spray of selenium on growth, yield and chemical constituents of potato plants. *Aust. J. Basic and Appl. Sci.*, 5 (11): 1296-1303.

تأثير الرش الورقي ببعض المحفزات علي النمو والمحصول وجودة ثمار الخيار تحت ظروف البيوت البلاستيكية

محمد عيد ربيع قاسم - عبدالله برديسي - داليا أحمد سامي نوار - صبرين خلف الله إبراهيم

قسم البساتين - كلية الزراعة - جامعة الزقازيق - مصر

أجري هذا البحث خلال شتاء موسمي 2019/2018، 2020/2019 تحت ظروف البيوت البلاستيكية بمحافظة الفيوم، مصر. وذلك لدراسة تأثير بعض المحفزات علي النمو والمحصول وجودة ثمار الخيار صنف باراكودا هجين جبل اول. وقد اشتملت هذه التجربة علي 9 معاملات كالتالي الرش بالماء (معاملة المقارنة)، سيلينات الصوديوم بتركيز (2، 4، 6) ملليجرام/لتر، حمض الهيوميك بمعدل 1، 2، 3 جرام/لتر، سيلكات البوتاسيوم بتركيز (4، 6) ملليتر/لتر، وقد وزعت المعاملات في قطاعات كاملة العشوائية وفي ثلاث مكررات، وسجلت معاملات رش الخيار بحمض الهيوميك بمعدل 3 جرام/لتر والرش بسيلكات البوتاسيوم بمعدل 6 ملليتر/لتر زيادة في كلا من الوزن الجاف للأوراق والافرع والوزن الجاف الكلي للعرش لكلورفيل أ، ب و لكلورفيل الكلي أ، ب، وعدد الثمار على النباتات، متوسط وزن الثمرة ومحصول النبات/المتر مربع. سجل الرش بسيلكات البوتاسيوم بمعدل 6 ملليتر/لتر زيادة في محتوى الثمار من المواد الصلبة الذائبة الكلية وفيتامين ج نسبة المادة الجافة ومحتوي الثمار من البوتاسيوم. بينما سجلت معاملات الرش بحمض الهيوميك بتركيز 2، 3 جرام/لتر، أو سيلكات البوتاسيوم 4 و 6 ملليتر/لتر زيادة في طول الثمرة، ويمكن التوصية بأن للخيار استجابة عالية للرش بكل من سيلكات البوتاسيوم أو حمض الهيوميك ويليها الرش بالسيلانيوم. وكانت الزيادة في المحصول بنسبة 58,64%، 48,79% بالرش بسيلكات البوتاسيوم بتركيز 6 ملليتر/لتر أو حمض الهيوميك بمعدل 3 جرام/لتر علي التوالي (متوسط الموسمين).

المحكمون :

أستاذ الخضر - مركز بحوث البساتين - مركز البحوث الزراعية.
أستاذ الخضر المساعد - كلية الزراعة - جامعة الزقازيق.

1- أ.د. خالد عطيه محمود نور
2- د. إيناس عبدالله برديسي